AS ISO 37123:2020
ISO 37123:2019

AS ISO 37123:2020

Australian STANDARD®

Sustainable cities and communities — Indicators for resilient cities

STANDARDS Australia

AS ISO 37123:2020

This Australian Standard® was prepared by JT-001, JTC 1 Strategic Advisory Committee. It was approved on behalf of the Council of Standards Australia on 4 March 2020.

This Standard was published on 20 March 2020.

The following are represented on Committee JT-001:

Australian Computer Society
Australian Information Industry Association
Australian Institute of Company Directors
CSIRO
CSIRO Data 61
Engineers Australia
IINET
Rozetta Technology
University of Technology Sydney

This Standard was issued in draft form for comment as DR AS ISO 37123:2020.

Keeping Standards up-to-date

Ensure you have the latest versions of our publications and keep up-to-date about Amendments, Rulings, Withdrawals, and new projects by visiting:
www.standards.org.au

ISBN 978 1 76072 777 2

AS ISO 37123:2020
ISO 37123:2019

Australian STANDARD®

Sustainable cities and communities — Indicators for resilient cities

First published as AS ISO 37123:2020.

COPYRIGHT

© ISO 2020 — All rights reserved
© Standards Australia Limited 2020

All rights are reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, without the written permission of the publisher, unless otherwise permitted under the Copyright Act 1968 (Cth).

Preface

This Standard was prepared by the Standards Australia Committee JT-001, Strategic Advisory Committee.

The objective of this Standard is to define and establish definitions and methodologies for a set of indicators on resilience in cities.

This document is applicable to any city, municipality or local government that undertakes to measure its performance in a comparable and verifiable manner, irrespective of size or location. Maintaining, enhancing and accelerating progress towards improved city services and quality of life is fundamental to the definition of a resilient city, so this document is intended to be implemented in conjunction with AS ISO 37120.

This document follows the principles set out in AS ISO 37101 and can be used in conjunction with this and other strategic frameworks.

This Standard is identical with, and has been reproduced from, ISO 37123:2019, *Sustainable cities and communities — Indicators for resilient cities*.

As this document has been reproduced from an International Standard, a full point substitutes for a comma when referring to a decimal marker.

Australian or Australian/New Zealand Standards that are identical adoptions of international normative references may be used interchangeably. Refer to the online catalogue for information on specific Standards.

The terms “normative” and “informative” are used in Standards to define the application of the appendices or annexes to which they apply. A “normative” appendix or annex is an integral part of a Standard, whereas an “informative” appendix or annex is only for information and guidance.

© Standards Australia Limited 2020

Contents

Preface ii
Foreword x
Introduction xi
1 Scope 1
2 Normative references 1
3 Terms and definitions 1
4 City indicators 3
5 Economy 4
5.1 Historical disaster losses as a percentage of city product 4
5.1.1 General 4
5.1.2 Indicator requirements 4
5.1.3 Data sources 5
5.2 Average annual disaster loss as a percentage of city product 5
5.2.1 General 5
5.2.2 Indicator requirements 5
5.2.3 Data sources 5
5.2.4 Data interpretation 5
5.3 Percentage of properties with insurance coverage for high-risk hazards 6
5.3.1 General 6
5.3.2 Indicator requirements 6
5.3.3 Data sources 6
5.3.4 Data interpretation 6
5.4 Percentage of total insured value to total value at risk within the city 7
5.4.1 General 7
5.4.2 Indicator requirements 7
5.4.3 Data sources 7
5.4.4 Data interpretation 7
5.5 Employment concentration 7
5.5.1 General 7
5.5.2 Indicator requirements 8
5.5.3 Data sources 8
5.5.4 Data interpretation 8
5.6 Percentage of the workforce in informal employment 8
5.6.1 General 8
5.6.2 Indicator requirements 8
5.6.3 Data sources 9
5.6.4 Data interpretation 9
5.7 Average household disposable income 9
5.7.1 General 9
5.7.2 Indicator requirements 9
5.7.3 Data sources 9
6 Education 10
6.1 Percentage of schools that teach emergency preparedness and disaster risk reduction 10
6.1.1 General 10
6.1.2 Indicator requirements 10
6.1.3 Data sources 10
6.2 Percentage of population trained in emergency preparedness and disaster risk reduction 10
6.2.1 General 10
6.2.2 Indicator requirements 10
6.2.3 Data sources 11
6.3 Percentage of emergency preparedness publications provided in alternative languages 11

6.3.1 General 11
6.3.2 Indicator requirements 11
6.3.3 Data sources 11
6.4 Educational disruption 11
6.4.1 General 11
6.4.2 Indicator requirements 12
6.4.3 Data sources 12

7 Energy 12
7.1 Number of different electricity sources providing at least 5 % of total energy supply capacity 12
7.1.1 General 12
7.1.2 Indicator requirements 12
7.1.3 Data sources 13
7.1.4 Data interpretation 13
7.2 Electricity supply capacity as a percentage of peak electricity demand 13
7.2.1 General 13
7.2.2 Indicator requirements 13
7.2.3 Data sources 14
7.3 Percentage of critical facilities served by off-grid energy services 14
7.3.1 General 14
7.3.2 Indicator requirements 14
7.3.3 Data sources 15

8 Environment and climate change 15
8.1 Magnitude of urban heat island effects (atmospheric) 15
8.1.1 General 15
8.1.2 Indicator requirements 15
8.1.3 Data sources 16
8.1.4 Data interpretation 16
8.2 Percentage of natural areas within the city that have undergone ecological evaluation for their protective services 16
8.2.1 General 16
8.2.2 Indicator requirements 16
8.2.3 Data sources 17
8.3 Territory undergoing ecosystem restoration as a percentage of total city area 17
8.3.1 General 17
8.3.2 Indicator requirements 17
8.3.3 Data sources 17
8.3.4 Data interpretation 17
8.4 Annual frequency of extreme rainfall events 18
8.4.1 General 18
8.4.2 Indicator requirements 18
8.4.3 Data sources 18
8.5 Annual frequency of extreme heat events 18
8.5.1 General 18
8.5.2 Indicator requirements 18
8.5.3 Data sources 19
8.6 Annual frequency of extreme cold events 19
8.6.1 General 19
8.6.2 Indicator requirements 19
8.6.3 Data sources 19
8.7 Annual frequency of flood events 19
8.7.1 General 19
8.7.2 Indicator requirements 19
8.7.3 Data sources 20
8.8 Percentage of city land area covered by tree canopy 20
8.8.1 General 20
8.8.2 Indicator requirements 20

8.8.3 Data sources 20
8.9 Percentage of city surface area covered with high-albedo materials contributing to the mitigation of urban heat islands 20
8.9.1 General 20
8.9.2 Indicator requirements 21
8.9.3 Data sources 21

9 Finance 21
9.1 Annual expenditure on upgrades and maintenance of city service assets as a percentage of total city budget 21
9.1.1 General 21
9.1.2 Indicator requirements 21
9.1.3 Data sources 22
9.2 Annual expenditure on upgrades and maintenance of storm water infrastructure as a percentage of total city budget 22
9.2.1 General 22
9.2.2 Indicator requirements 22
9.2.3 Data sources 22
9.3 Annual expenditure allocated to ecosystem restoration in the city's territory as a percentage of total city budget 22
9.3.1 General 22
9.3.2 Indicator requirements 23
9.3.3 Data sources 23
9.3.4 Data interpretation 23
9.4 Annual expenditure on green and blue infrastructure as a percentage of total city budget 23
9.4.1 General 23
9.4.2 Indicator requirements 23
9.4.3 Data sources 24
9.4.4 Data interpretation 24
9.5 Annual expenditure on emergency management planning as a percentage of total city budget 24
9.5.1 General 24
9.5.2 Indicator requirements 24
9.5.3 Data sources 24
9.6 Annual expenditure on social and community services as a percentage of total city budget 25
9.6.1 General 25
9.6.2 Indicator requirements 25
9.6.3 Data sources 25
9.6.4 Data interpretation 25
9.7 Total allocation of disaster reserve funds as a percentage of total city budget 25
9.7.1 General 25
9.7.2 Indicator requirements 26
9.7.3 Data sources 26
9.7.4 Data interpretation 26

10 Governance 26
10.1 Frequency with which disaster-management plans are updated 26
10.1.1 General 26
10.1.2 Indicator requirements 26
10.1.3 Data sources 26
10.2 Percentage of essential city services covered by a documented continuity plan 27
10.2.1 General 27
10.2.2 Indicator requirements 27
10.2.3 Data sources 27
10.2.4 Data interpretation 27
10.3 Percentage of city electronic data with secure and remote back-up storage 28
10.3.1 General 28

10.3.2 Indicator requirements 28
10.3.3 Data sources 28
10.4 Percentage of public meetings dedicated to resilience in the city 28
10.4.1 General 28
10.4.2 Indicator requirements 28
10.4.3 Data sources 29
10.5 Number of intergovernmental agreements dedicated to planning for shocks as percentage of total intergovernmental agreements 29
10.5.1 General 29
10.5.2 Indicator requirements 29
10.5.3 Data sources 29
10.6 Percentage of essential service providers that have a documented business continuity plan 30
10.6.1 General 30
10.6.2 Indicator requirements 30
10.6.3 Data sources 30
10.6.4 Data interpretation 30

11 Health 31
11.1 Percentage of hospitals equipped with back-up electricity supply 31
11.1.1 General 31
11.1.2 Indicator requirements 31
11.1.3 Data sources 31
11.2 Percentage of population with basic health insurance 31
11.2.1 General 31
11.2.2 Indicator requirements 31
11.2.3 Data sources 32
11.3 Percentage of population that is fully immunized 32
11.3.1 General 32
11.3.2 Indicator requirements 32
11.3.3 Data sources 32
11.4 Number of infectious disease outbreaks per year 32
11.4.1 General 32
11.4.2 Indicator requirements 32
11.4.3 Data sources 33
11.4.4 Data interpretation 33

12 Housing 33
12.1 Capacity of designated emergency shelters per 100 000 population 33
12.1.1 General 33
12.1.2 Indicator requirements 33
12.1.3 Data sources 33
12.2 Percentage of buildings structurally vulnerable to high-risk hazards 34
12.2.1 General 34
12.2.2 Indicator requirements 34
12.2.3 Data sources 34
12.3 Percentage of residential buildings not in conformity with building codes and standards 34
12.3.1 General 34
12.3.2 Indicator requirements 34
12.3.3 Data sources 35
12.4 Percentage of damaged infrastructure that was “built back better” after a disaster 35
12.4.1 General 35
12.4.2 Indicator requirements 35
12.4.3 Data sources 36
12.4.4 Data interpretation 36
12.5 Annual number of residential properties flooded as a percentage of total residential properties in the city 36
12.5.1 General 36

12.5.2 Indicator requirements 37
12.5.3 Data sources 37
12.6 Percentage of residential properties located in high-risk zones 37
12.6.1 General 37
12.6.2 Indicator requirements 37
12.6.3 Data sources 37

13 Population and social conditions 38
13.1 Vulnerable population as a percentage of city population 38
13.1.1 General 38
13.1.2 Indicator requirements 38
13.1.3 Data sources 38
13.1.4 Data interpretation 38
13.2 Percentage of population enrolled in social assistance programmes 39
13.2.1 General 39
13.2.2 Indicator requirements 39
13.2.3 Data sources 39
13.3 Percentage of population at high risk from natural hazards 39
13.3.1 General 39
13.3.2 Indicator requirements 39
13.3.3 Data sources 40
13.4 Percentage of neighbourhoods with regular and open neighbourhood association meetings 40
13.4.1 General 40
13.4.2 Indicator requirements 40
13.4.3 Data sources 40
13.5 Annual percentage of the city population directly affected by natural hazards 40
13.5.1 General 40
13.5.2 Indicator requirements 41
13.5.3 Data sources 41

14 Recreation 41

15 Safety 41
15.1 Percentage of city population covered by multi-hazard early warning system 41
15.1.1 General 41
15.1.2 Indicator requirements 41
15.1.3 Data sources 42
15.2 Percentage of emergency responders who have received disaster response training 42
15.2.1 General 42
15.2.2 Indicator requirements 42
15.2.3 Data sources 42
15.3 Percentage of local hazard warnings issued by national agencies annually that are received in a timely fashion by the city 42
15.3.1 General 42
15.3.2 Indicator requirements 43
15.3.3 Data sources 43
15.4 Number of hospital beds in the city destroyed or damaged by natural hazards per 100 000 population 43
15.4.1 General 43
15.4.2 Indicator requirements 43
15.4.3 Data sources 44

16 Solid waste 44
16.1 Number of active and temporary waste management sites available for debris and rubble per square kilometre 44
16.1.1 General 44
16.1.2 Indicator requirements 44
16.1.3 Data sources 44

17 Sport and culture 44

18 Telecommunication **45**
18.1 Percentage of emergency responders in the city equipped with specialized communication technologies able to operate reliably during a disaster event 45
18.1.1 General 45
18.1.2 Indicator requirements 45
18.1.3 Data sources 45

19 Transportation **46**
19.1 Number of evacuation routes available per 100 000 population 46
19.1.1 General 46
19.1.2 Indicator requirements 46
19.1.3 Data sources 46

20 Urban/local agriculture and food security **46**
20.1 Percentage of city population that can be served by city food reserves for 72 hours in an emergency 46
20.1.1 General 46
20.1.2 Indicator requirements 46
20.1.3 Data sources 47
20.2 Percentage of the city's population living within one kilometre of a grocery store 47
20.2.1 General 47
20.2.2 Indicator requirements 47
20.2.3 Data sources 47

21 Urban planning **48**
21.1 Percentage of city area covered by publicly available hazard maps 48
21.1.1 General 48
21.1.2 Indicator requirements 48
21.1.3 Data sources 48
21.2 Pervious land areas and public space and pavement built with porous, draining materials as a percentage of city land area 48
21.2.1 General 48
21.2.2 Indicator requirements 48
21.2.3 Data sources 49
21.2.4 Data interpretation 49
21.3 Percentage of city land area in high-risk zones where risk-reduction measures have been implemented 50
21.3.1 General 50
21.3.2 Indicator requirements 50
21.3.3 Data sources 50
21.4 Percentage of city departments and utility services that conduct risk assessment in their planning and investment 50
21.4.1 General 50
21.4.2 Indicator requirements 51
21.4.3 Data sources 51
21.5 Annual number of critical infrastructures flooded as a percentage of critical infrastructure in the city 51
21.5.1 General 51
21.5.2 Indicator requirements 51
21.5.3 Data sources 52
21.6 Annual expenditure on water retention measures as a percentage of city prevention measures budget 52
21.6.1 General 52
21.6.2 Indicator requirements 52
21.6.3 Data requirements 52

22 Wastewater **52**

23 Water **52**
23.1 Number of different sources providing at least 5 % of total water supply capacity 52
23.1.1 General 52

23.1.2 Indicator requirements 53
23.1.3 Data sources 53
23.1.4 Data interpretation 53
23.2 Percentage of city population that can be supplied with drinking water by alternative methods for 72 hours 53
23.2.1 General 53
23.2.2 Indicator requirements 53
23.2.3 Data sources 54
24 Reporting and record maintenance 54
Annex A (informative) **Typology of city hazards 55**
Annex B (informative) **Mapping ISO 37123 indicators to the risk-management process 56**
Annex C (informative) **Mapping ISO 37123 indicators to the disaster-management process 59**
Annex D (informative) **UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 61**
Annex E (informative) **Mapping of ISO 37123 indicators to ISO 37101 issues and purposes 73**
Bibliography 82

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 268, *Sustainable cities and communities*.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

© Standards Australia Limited 2020

Introduction

Cities need indicators to establish their baseline, and measure and evaluate their performance. However, existing indicators are often not standardized, consistent or comparable over time or across cities. To address these challenges, a new series of International Standards is being developed to provide standardized indicators that enable a uniform approach to what is measured, and how that measurement is to be undertaken.

The first standard in this series, ISO 37120, has quickly become the international reference point for sustainable city indicators. While ISO 37120 contains a number of indicators of relevance to a city's resilience planning and assessment, the need for additional indicators for resilient cities has been identified, reflected in this document, as has the need for additional indicators for smart cities, developed in ISO 37122.

A resilient city is able to prepare for, recover from and adapt to shocks and stresses. Cities are increasingly confronted by shocks, including extreme natural or human-made events which result in loss of life and injury, material, economic, and/or environmental losses and impacts. These shocks can include but are not limited to floods, earthquakes, hurricanes, wildfires, volcanic eruptions, pandemics, chemical spills and explosions, terrorism, power outages, financial crises, cyber-attacks and conflicts. A resilient city is also able to manage and mitigate ongoing human and natural stresses in a city relating to environmental degradation (e.g. poor air and water quality), social inequality (e.g. chronic poverty and housing shortages) and economic instability (e.g. rapid inflation and persistent unemployment) that cause persistent negative impacts in a city.

A city's preparedness can be characterized by developing a detailed understanding of the risks to the city, by taking action to reduce vulnerability and exposure, and by enhancing the awareness and participation of individuals, households and businesses.

A resilient city is able to recover from shocks and stresses in a timely and efficient manner, with a focus on ensuring the continuity or rapid restoration of city services such as electricity, water, telecommunications, waste management, sanitation, food distribution, financial services and access to emergency services.

A resilient city is also a city that understands the necessity to adapt its systems and processes to ensure that they are as robust as possible in the face of shocks and stresses, building back better following extreme events, while focusing on the goal of restoring and ensuring long-term prosperity.

Resilience is both a core component and an essential enabler of sustainable development. This document is focused on resilience measurement as a major contribution to the sustainability of a city. The structure of the family of city indicators standards for sustainable cities and communities reflects this relationship between sustainable development, resilient development and smart development (see Figure 1).

Progress and transformation towards sustainable development through maintaining and improving city services and quality of life in the face of shocks and stresses is a core component of a resilient city. This document is therefore intended to be implemented in conjunction with ISO 37120.

© Standards Australia Limited 2020




Figure 1 — Sustainable cities and communities — Relationships within the family of city indicators standards

The indicators in this document have been selected to make reporting as simple and inexpensive as possible, and therefore reflect an initial platform for reporting. The indicators have been developed to help cities:

a) prepare for, recover from and adapt to shocks and stresses;

b) learn from one another by allowing comparison across a wide range of performance measures, and by sharing good practices.

The indicators in this document can be used to track and monitor progress towards a resilient city, through the development of a city resilience strategy or when applying a city management system such as ISO 37101. While the indicators are structured around ISO themes that correspond to different sectors and services provided by cities, it is noted that the indicators can also be organized according to the risk management process (Annex B), the disaster management process (Annex C), the Sustainable Development Goals and the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction (Annex D) and the ISO 37101 issues and purposes (Annex E). Furthermore, the typologies of hazards (Annex A) can assist cities in identifying the potential hazards that they face, which is relevant to many of the indicators contained in this document. It is also provided as a guide for helping identify peer cities facing similar hazards.

This document will support any and all global agreements that support sustainability and resilience. Agreements currently in place include, but are not limited to: the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction[22], the New Urban Agenda, the 2030 Agenda (i.e. the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals[27]) and the Paris Agreement.

A city which conforms to this document does so in regard to measurement of indicators for city resilience in conformity with the definitions and methodologies as set out in this document, and may only claim conformity to that effect. This document does not provide a value judgement, threshold or target numerical value for the indicators, therefore conformity with this document does not confer a status in this regard.

It is acknowledged that cities may not have direct influence or control over factors governing some of these indicators, but the reporting is important for meaningful comparison and provides a general indication of resilience.

In this document, the following verbal forms are used:

— “shall” indicates a requirement;

© Standards Australia Limited 2020

— “should” indicates a recommendation;

— “may” indicates a permission;

— “can” indicates a possibility or a capability.

The terminology used within this document is outlined in the United Nations General Assembly (UNGA) Terminology Document, available at https://www.preventionweb.net/files/50683_oiewgreportenglish.pdf

© Standards Australia Limited 2020

NOTES

Australian Standard®

Sustainable cities and communities — Indicators for resilient cities

1 Scope

This document defines and establishes definitions and methodologies for a set of indicators on resilience in cities.

This document is applicable to any city, municipality or local government that undertakes to measure its performance in a comparable and verifiable manner, irrespective of size or location. Maintaining, enhancing and accelerating progress towards improved city services and quality of life is fundamental to the definition of a resilient city, so this document is intended to be implemented in conjunction with ISO 37120.

This document follows the principles set out in ISO 37101, and can be used in conjunction with this and other strategic frameworks.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 37101, *Sustainable development in communities — Management system for sustainable development — Requirements with guidance for use*

ISO 37120, *Sustainable cities and communities — Indicators for city services and quality of life*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 37101 and ISO 37120 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

— ISO Online browsing platform: available at https://www.iso.org/obp

— IEC Electropedia: available at http://www.electropedia.org/

3.1
critical infrastructure
physical structures, facilities, networks and other assets which provide services that are essential to the social and economic functioning of a community or society

Note 1 to entry: Examples of critical infrastructure can include, but are not limited to, power generation, transmission and distribution, water treatment, distribution and drainage, wastewater and storm water infrastructure, transportation, gas supply and distribution, telecommunications infrastructure, educational facilities, hospitals and other health facilities.

3.2
disaster
serious disruption to a city or community due to hazardous events interacting with conditions of exposure, vulnerability and capacity, leading to human, material, economic and/or environmental losses and impacts

Note 1 to entry: Disasters can be frequent or infrequent, depending on the probability of occurrence and the return period of the relevant hazard. A slow-onset disaster is one that emerges gradually over time, for example through drought, desertification, sea level rise, subsidence or epidemic disease. A sudden-onset disaster is one triggered by a hazardous event that emerges quickly or unexpectedly, often associated with earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, flash floods, chemical explosions, critical infrastructure failures or transport accidents.

© Standards Australia Limited 2020