ASCE STANDARD

ASCE/EWRI

70-19

(R2025)

Estimation of Aquifer Hydraulic Properties by Inverse Numerical Modeling of Aquifer Pumping Tests

ASCE
AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CIVIL ENGINEERS

EWRI
ENVIRONMENTAL & WATER RESOURCES INSTITUTE

ASCE STANDARD

ASCE/EWRI

70-19(R2025)

Estimation of Aquifer Hydraulic Properties by Inverse Numerical Modeling of Aquifer Pumping Tests

PUBLISHED BY THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CIVIL ENGINEERS

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data
{to come from ASCE}

Published by American Society of Civil Engineers
1801 Alexander Bell Drive
Reston, Virginia 20191
www.asce.org/pubs

This standard was developed by a consensus standards development process, which has been accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI). Accreditation by ANSI, a voluntary accreditation body representing public and private sector standards development organizations in the United States and abroad, signifies that the standards development process used by ASCE has met the ANSI requirements for openness, balance, consensus, and due process.

Although ASCE's process is designed to promote standards that reflect a fair and reasoned consensus among all interested participants while preserving the public health, safety, and welfare that is paramount to its mission, it has not made an independent assessment of and does not warrant the accuracy, completeness, suitability, or utility of any information, apparatus, product, or process discussed herein. ASCE does not intend, nor should anyone interpret, ASCE's standards to replace the sound judgment of a competent professional, having knowledge and experience in the appropriate field(s) of practice, nor to substitute for the standard of care required of such professionals in interpreting and applying the contents of this standard.

ASCE has no authority to enforce compliance with its standards and does not undertake to certify products for compliance or to render any professional services to any person or entity.

ASCE disclaims any and all liability for any personal injury, property damage, financial loss, or other damages of any nature whatsoever, including without limitation any direct, indirect, special, exemplary, or consequential damages, resulting from any person's use of, or reliance on, this standard. Any individual who relies on this standard assumes full responsibility for such use.

ASCE and American Society of Civil Engineers—Registered in US Patent and Trademark Office.

Photocopies and permissions. Permission to photocopy or reproduce material from ASCE publications can be requested by sending an email to permissions@asce.org or by locating a title in ASCE's Civil Engineering Database (https://cedb.asce.org) or ASCE Library (https://ascelibrary.org) and using the "Permissions" link.

***Errata*: Errata, if any, can be found at https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784415412.**

Copyright © 2020 by the American Society of Civil Engineers.
All Rights Reserved.
ISBN 978-0-7844-1541-2 (paper)
ISBN 978-0-7844-8252-0 (PDF)

Manufactured in the United States of America.

25 24 23 22 21 20 1 2 3 4 5

ASCE STANDARDS

In 2016, the Board of Direction approved revisions to the ASCE Rules for Standards Committees to govern the writing and maintenance of standards developed by ASCE. All such standards are developed by a consensus standards process managed by the ASCE Codes and Standards Committee (CSC). The consensus process includes balloting by a balanced standards committee and reviewing during a public comment period. All standards are updated or reaffirmed by the same process every five to ten years. Requests for formal interpretations shall be processed in accordance with Section 7 of ASCE Rules for Standards Committees, which are available at www.asce.org. Errata, addenda, supplements, and interpretations, if any, for this standard can also be found at www.asce.org.

The provisions of this document are written in permissive language and, as such, offer the user a series of options or instructions, but do not prescribe a specific course of action. Significant judgment is left to the user of this document.

This standard has been prepared in accordance with recognized engineering principles and should not be used without the user's competent knowledge for a given application. The publication of this standard by ASCE is not intended to warrant that the information contained herein is suitable for any general or specific use, and ASCE takes no position respecting the validity of patent rights. The user is advised that the determination of patent rights or risk of infringement is entirely his or her own responsibility.

A complete list of currently available standards is available in the ASCE Library (https://ascelibrary.org/page/books/s-standards).

CONTENTS

ASCE STANDARDS . . . iii

PREFACE . . . vii

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS . . . ix

1 INTRODUCTION . . . 1
1.1 Scope . . . 1
1.2 Definitions and Symbols . . . 1

2 APPLICABILITY . . . 3

3 OVERVIEW OF PROCEDURE . . . 5
3.1 Conducting Aquifer Pumping Tests . . . 5
3.2 Analysis of Aquifer Pumping Test Data . . . 6

4 DEVELOPMENT OF NUMERICAL GROUNDWATER FLOW MODEL . . . 9
4.1 Modeling Purpose . . . 9
4.2 Conceptual Model . . . 9
4.3 Mathematical Model Formulation and Code Selection . . . 9
4.4 Model Design . . . 9
4.4.1 Spatial Extent of Model Domain . . . 9
4.4.2 Design of the Finite-Difference Grid or the Finite-Element Mesh . . . 10
4.4.3 Multinode Wells . . . 10
4.5 Model Calibration . . . 12

5 SOLUTION OF THE INVERSE PROBLEM . . . 13
5.1 Calibration Targets . . . 13
5.2 Calibration Parameters . . . 13
5.3 History Matching . . . 13
5.3.1 Manual Trial-and-Error History Matching . . . 13
5.3.2 Automated History Matching . . . 17

6 EXAMPLE APPLICATIONS . . . 19
6.1 Upper Floridan Aquifer Pumping Tests . . . 19
6.1.1 A 72 Hour Aquifer Pumping Test . . . 19
6.1.2 A 90 Day Aquifer Pumping Test . . . 19
6.1.3 Calibration Results . . . 21
6.2 Groundwater Management Problem Aquifer Pumping Test . . . 21
6.2.1 Hypothetical Groundwater System . . . 22
6.2.2 Hypothetical Aquifer Pumping Test Scenario . . . 23
6.2.3 Parameter Estimation . . . 25

7 REFERENCES . . . 31

INDEX . . . 33

PREFACE

This is a standard guideline for estimating the hydraulic properties of a groundwater system by inverse numerical modeling of aquifer pumping tests. This standard is applicable to situations where inverse methods based on analytical solutions for aquifer response to pumping are not applicable, which might occur when the pumping rate is time dependent, the hydrostratigraphy of the groundwater system is complex, or boundary conditions are present that influence aquifer response. Guidance is provided on using a numerical groundwater flow model to simulate an aquifer pumping test and estimate aquifer hydraulic properties by matching the simulated aquifer response in both space and time to observations of head or water level.

The methodology is based on minimizing the residual error between observed and simulated heads by adjusting (calibrating) values of the pertinent aquifer hydraulic properties (e.g., transmissivity, storativity, and leakance) such that there is a good match between the observed and simulated values. This match may be accomplished manually by trial and error; alternatively, automated optimization or nonlinear least squares regression techniques can be used to solve the inverse problem. The resulting set of aquifer hydraulic property values are then considered to be representative of the aquifer volume influenced by the aquifer pumping test. These aquifer hydraulic properties derived from this procedure may serve as the basis of predictive simulations of groundwater flow and solute transport under a different set of hydrologic stresses, or to assist in characterizing the statistical and geostatistical properties of aquifer hydraulic conductivity.

This standard represents the consensus of the Standards Committee on Fitting of Hydraulic Conductivity Using Statistical Spatial Estimation (called KSTAT) of the Standards Development Council (SDC) of the Environmental and Water Resources Institute (EWRI) of ASCE. This standard guideline is the fifth in a series of standards that seeks to enhance the probabilistic and empirical characterization and understanding of the saturated hydraulic conductivity (K_{sat}), a key groundwater parameter. The KSTAT Standards Committee has published four companion standard guidelines: ASCE/EWRI Standard 50-08 (ASCE 2008a), ASCE/EWRI Standard 51-08 (ASCE 2008b), ASCE/EWRI Standard 54-10 (ASCE 2010), and ASCE/EWRI Standard 65-17 (ASCE 2017). Standard 50-08 addresses the optimal fitting of saturated hydraulic conductivity (K_{sat}) with skewed probability density functions (PDFs). Standard 51-08 deals with the estimation of the effective saturated hydraulic conductivity, a parameter that relates the average specific discharge to the average hydraulic gradient. Standard 54-10 presents a methodology for the geostatistical interpolation and block averaging of K_{sat} in statistically homogeneous and isotropic aquifers. Standard 65-17 provides the means for calculating the saturated hydraulic conductivity of fine-grained soils based on stress–strain data obtained from standard consolidation tests.

The formulas in this standard require that all their values be expressed in both Système International (SI) units and the customary system of units in the United States. These are presented with customary units first, with SI units following in parentheses. The example applications included in this standard employ US customary units and SI units. Dimensions and quantities expressed in SI units are followed by conversion to US customary units in parentheses. Conversely, dimensions and quantities expressed in US customary units are followed by conversion to SI units in parenthesis.

ASCE does not endorse commercial spreadsheets, numerical software, or testing methods produced by other organizations cited in this standard. Any such products are cited to illustrate possible ways of carrying out calculations and conducting experimental tests that are cited in this standard guideline. It is left to the users' discretion to choose and verify the accuracy of whichever computational technique or testing method they apply to implement this standard's methodology.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

ASCE and its Environmental and Water Resources Institute (EWRI) gratefully acknowledge the devoted efforts of the Standards Committee on Fitting of Hydraulic Conductivity Using Statistical Spatial Estimation (called KSTAT). This committee comprises individuals from many backgrounds. Current members of the Standards Committee on Fitting of Hydraulic Conductivity Using Statistical Spatial Estimation are:

Hugo A. Loáiciga, Ph.D., P.E., D.WRE, Dist.M.ASCE, *Chair*
Stewart W. Taylor, Ph.D., P.E., F.ASCE, F.EWRI, *Project Leader*
Nazeer Ahmed, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE
Omid Bozorg Haddad, Ph.D.
Macan Doroudian, Ph.D, P.E., G.E., M.ASCE
Paul F. Hudak, Ph.D.
Laurent M. Meillier, P.G.
Chin Man W. Mok, Ph.D., P.E., P.G., G.E., D.WRE, D.GE, F.ASCE, F.EWRI
Kok-Kwang Phoon, Ph.D., P.E., F.ASCE
George F. Pinder, Ph.D., Dist.M.ASCE
Anand J. Puppala, Ph.D., P.E., F.ASCE
Mostafa Razzaghmanesh, Ph.D., Aff.M.ASCE
Mark Henry Rubarenzya, Ph.D., D.WRE, M.ASCE
K. Majid Sadeghi, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE
Zhuping Sheng, Ph.D., P.E., P.H., F.ASCE
Parmeshwar L. Shrestha, Ph.D., P.E., D.WRE, M.ASCE
William W-G. Yeh, Ph.D., Dist.M.ASCE

The KSTAT committee wishes to acknowledge Peter Andersen, P.E., for contributing the Upper Floridan Aquifer example application, as well as for his insightful comments on this standard. In addition, Hillol Guha, Ph.D., P.E., P.G., is acknowledged for his efforts in developing the hypothetical aquifer performance test problem used to demonstrate the automated history matching process.

CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION

1.1 SCOPE

This standard is for estimating the hydraulic properties of a groundwater system by inverse numerical modeling of aquifer pumping tests. Guidance is provided on using a numerical groundwater flow model to simulate an aquifer pumping test (APT) and estimate aquifer hydraulic properties by matching the simulated aquifer response in space and time to observations of hydraulic head (henceforth referred to as *head*) or water level. The methodology is based on minimizing the residual error between observed and simulated heads by adjusting (calibrating) values of the pertinent aquifer hydraulic properties (e.g., transmissivity, storativity, and leakance) such that there is a good match between the observed and simulated values. This match may be accomplished manually by trial and error; alternatively, automated optimization or nonlinear least squares regression techniques can be used to solve the inverse problem. The resulting set of aquifer hydraulic property values are then considered to be representative of the aquifer volume influenced by the APT. The aquifer hydraulic properties derived from this procedure may serve as the basis of predictive simulations of groundwater flow and solute transport under a different set of hydrologic stresses, or they may be used to assist in characterizing the statistical (ASCE 2008a, b) and geostatistical (ASCE 2010) properties of aquifer hydraulic conductivity.

1.2 DEFINITIONS AND SYMBOLS

Definitions

Analytical model: In groundwater modeling, a model that is based on the closed-form, analytical solution to the governing equations of the applicable problem.

Aquiclude: A geologic formation that may contain water but is incapable of transmitting significant quantities under ordinary field conditions.

Aquifer: A geologic formation, or a group of formations, that (i) contains water and (ii) permits significant amounts of water to move through it under ordinary field conditions.

Aquifer pumping test (APT): A controlled field experiment used to estimate hydraulic properties of aquifer systems conducted by stressing the aquifer through constant pumping and observing the aquifer's response (drawdown) in observation wells or piezometers.

Aquitard: A hydrogeological unit that is permeable enough to transmit water in significant quantities when viewed over large areas and long periods, but its hydraulic conductivity is not sufficient to justify production wells being placed in it.

Calibration: The process of refining the model representation of the hydrogeologic framework, hydraulic properties, and boundary conditions to achieve a desired degree of correspondence between the model simulations and observations of the groundwater system.

Calibration targets: Measured, observed, calculated, or estimated hydraulic heads or groundwater flow rates that a model must reproduce, at least approximately, to be considered calibrated.

Conceptual model: An interpretation or working description of the characteristics and dynamics of the physical system.

Confined aquifer: An aquifer bounded above and below by confining beds and in which the static head is above the top of the aquifer.

Confining bed: A hydrogeologic unit of less permeability bounding one or more aquifers.

Drawdown: Vertical distance the static head is lowered caused by the removal of groundwater.

Groundwater flow model: An application of a mathematical model to represent a site-specific groundwater flow system.

Groundwater modeling code: The nonparameterized computer code used in groundwater modeling to represent a nonunique, simplified mathematical description of the physical framework, geometry, active processes, boundary conditions, and initial conditions present in a reference subsurface hydrologic system.

Hydraulic head (head): The height above a standard datum of the surface of a column of water that can be supported by the hydraulic pressure at a given point in a groundwater system.

Hydraulic properties: Properties of soil and rock that govern the transmission (e.g., hydraulic conductivity, transmissivity, and leakance) and storage (e.g., specific storage, storativity, and specific yield) of water.

Hydrogeologic unit: Any soil or rock unit or zone which by virtue of its porosity or permeability, or lack thereof, has a distinct influence on the storage or movement of groundwater.

Inverse method: Solving for independent parameter values using knowledge of values of dependent variables.

Leaky aquifer: An aquifer whose upper and lower boundaries are aquitards, or one boundary is an aquitard and the other is an aquiclude.

Mathematical model: (a) Mathematical equations expressing the physical system and including simplifying assumptions; (b) the representation of a physical system by mathematical expressions from which the behavior of the system can be deduced with known accuracy.

Numerical model: In groundwater modeling, a model that uses numerical methods to solve the governing equations of the applicable problem. State-of-the-art numerical models have input and output capabilities supported by a graphical user interface.

Observation well: A well open to all or part of an aquifer.

Phreatic surface: The upper surface of the zone of saturation on which the water pressure in the porous medium equals atmospheric pressure.