ASCE STANDARD

ASCE/SEI

76-23

Standard for Mitigation of Disproportionate Collapse Potential in Buildings and Other Structures

ASCE
AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CIVIL ENGINEERS

SEI
ASCE
STRUCTURAL
ENGINEERING
INSTITUTE

ASCE STANDARD

ASCE/SEI

76-23

Standard for Mitigation of Disproportionate Collapse Potential in Buildings and Other Structures

AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CIVIL ENGINEERS

STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING INSTITUTE

PUBLISHED BY THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CIVIL ENGINEERS

Publication information is registered with the Library of Congress.

Names: American Society of Civil Engineers, author.
Title: Standard for mitigation of disproportionate collapse potential in buildings and other structures / American Society of Civil Engineers.
Description: Reston, Virginia : ASCE, American Society of Civil Engineers, [2023] | Series: ASCE standard | Includes bibliographical references and index. | Summary: "Standard for Mitigation of Disproportionate Collapse Potential in Buildings and Other Structures, ASCE/SEI 76-23, provides a performance-based consensus perspective on minimum requirements for design, construction, and qualification testing to mitigate disproportionate collapse of new and existing buildings and other structures" – Provided by publisher.
Identifiers: LCCN 2023010928 | ISBN 9780784415931 (soft cover) | ISBN 9780784483800 (pdf)
Subjects: LCSH: Buildings–Standards–United States. | Structural engineering–Standards–United States. | Structural failures–Prevention–Standards–United States. | Hazard mitigation–Standards–United States.
Classification: LCC TH851 .A44 2023 | DDC 624.1/71–dc23/eng/20230422
LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2023010928

Published by American Society of Civil Engineers
1801 Alexander Bell Drive
Reston, Virginia, 20191-4382
www.asce.org/bookstore | ascelibrary.org

This standard was developed by a consensus standards development process that has been accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI). Accreditation by ANSI, a voluntary accreditation body representing public and private sector standards development organizations in the United States and abroad, signifies that the standards development process used by ASCE has met the ANSI requirements for openness, balance, consensus, and due process.

While ASCE's process is designed to promote standards that reflect a fair and reasoned consensus among all interested participants, while preserving the public health, safety, and welfare that is paramount to its mission, it has not made an independent assessment of and does not warrant the accuracy, completeness, suitability, or utility of any information, apparatus, product, or process discussed herein. ASCE does not intend, nor should anyone interpret, ASCE's standards to replace the sound judgment of a competent professional, having knowledge and experience in the appropriate field(s) of practice, nor to substitute for the standard of care required of such professionals in interpreting and applying the contents of this standard.

ASCE has no authority to enforce compliance with its standards and does not undertake to certify products for compliance or to render any professional services to any person or entity.

ASCE disclaims any and all liability for any personal injury, property damage, financial loss, or other damages of any nature whatsoever, including without limitation any direct, indirect, special, exemplary, or consequential damages, resulting from any person's use of, or reliance on, this standard. Any individual who relies on this standard assumes full responsibility for such use.

ASCE and American Society of Civil Engineers—Registered in US Patent and Trademark Office.

Photocopies and permissions. Permission to photocopy or reproduce material from ASCE publications can be requested by sending an email to permissions@asce.org or by locating a title in ASCE's Civil Engineering Database (http://cedb.asce.org) or ASCE Library (http://ascelibrary.org) and using the "Permissions" link.

Errata: **Errata, if any, can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/9780784415931.**

Copyright © 2023 by the American Society of Civil Engineers.
All Rights Reserved.
ISBN 978-0-7844-1593-1 (soft cover)
ISBN 978-0-7844-8380-0 (PDF)

Manufactured in the United States of America.
27 26 25 24 23 1 2 3 4 5

ASCE STANDARDS

In 2016, the Board of Direction approved revisions to the ASCE Rules for Standards Committees to govern the writing and maintenance of standards developed by ASCE. All such standards are developed by a consensus standards process managed by the ASCE Codes and Standards Committee. The consensus process includes balloting by a balanced standards committee and reviewing during a public comment period. All standards are updated or reaffirmed by the same process every five or six years. Requests for formal interpretations shall be processed in accordance with Section 7 of ASCE Rules for Standards Committees, which are available at www.asce.org.

Errata, addenda, supplements, and interpretations, if any, for this standard can also be found at www.asce.org. This standard has been prepared in accordance with recognized engineering principles and should not be used without the user's competent knowledge for a given application. The publication of this standard by ASCE is not intended to warrant that the information contained therein is suitable for any general or specific use, and ASCE takes no position respecting the validity of patent rights. The user is advised that the determination of patent rights or risk of infringement is entirely their own responsibility. A complete list of currently available standards is available in the ASCE Library (http://ascelibrary.org/page/books/s-standards).

CONTENTS

PREFACE xiii

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS xv

1 GENERAL 1
1.1 Purpose 1
1.2 Scope 1
1.2.1 Organization 1
1.2.2 Applicability 1
1.3 Basic Requirements 1
1.3.1 Typical Strength and Robustness Procedures 1
1.3.2 Alternative Rational Procedures 1
1.3.2.1 Analysis 1
1.3.2.2 Testing 1
1.3.2.3 Peer Review 1
1.4 Documentation of Design 2
1.5 Disclaimer 2
1.5.1 Qualifications 2
1.5.2 Information Sensitivity 2
Reference Standards 2

2 DEFINITIONS 3
2.1 Abnormal Event 3
2.2 Action 3
2.3 Alternative Load Paths 3
2.4 Authority Having Jurisdiction 3
2.5 Client 3
2.6 Collapse Resistance 3
2.7 Collapse-Resistant Design Category 3
2.8 Component (Structural) 3
2.9 Consequences 3
2.10 Continuity 3
2.11 Deformation-Controlled Actions 3
2.12 Design Criteria 3
2.13 Design Approaches 3
2.14 Design Objectives 3
2.15 Design Professional (Designer) 3
2.16 Design Requirements 3
2.17 Direct Design 3
2.18 Disproportionate Collapse 3
2.19 Ductility 4
2.20 Element (Structural) 4
2.21 Event Control 4
2.22 Expected Strength 4
2.23 Exposure (Hazard) 4
2.24 Force-Controlled Action 4
2.25 Hazard Scenarios 4
2.26 Indirect Design 4
2.27 Initial Damage 4
2.28 Integrity (General Structural Integrity) 4
2.29 Key Element 4
2.30 Likelihood (Probability) 4
2.31 Local Resistance 4
2.32 Lower Bound Strength 4
2.33 Nominal Strength 4
2.34 Nonstructural Methods 4

2.35 Non-Threat-Specific Design . . . 4
2.36 Owner . . . 4
2.37 Partial Collapse . . . 4
2.38 Performance Objectives . . . 4
2.39 Prescribed Local Resistance . . . 4
2.40 Prescriptive Design Rules . . . 4
2.41 Progressive Collapse . . . 5
2.42 Propagating Action . . . 5
2.43 Protection . . . 5
2.44 Redundancy . . . 5
2.45 Reliability . . . 5
2.46 Required Member Resistance (or Required Strength) . . . 5
2.47 Resistance Factor . . . 5
2.48 Risk . . . 5
2.49 Robustness . . . 5
2.50 Segment . . . 5
2.51 Segment Border . . . 5
2.52 Specific Local Resistance . . . 5
2.53 Structural Methods . . . 5
2.54 Threat-Specific Design . . . 5
2.55 Verification Procedures . . . 5

3 RISK ASSESSMENT . . . 7
3.1 General Design Requirements . . . 7
3.1.1 Objectives . . . 7
3.1.2 Scope . . . 7
3.1.3 Administrative Requirements . . . 7
3.2 Risk Assessment . . . 7
3.2.1 Quantification of Risk . . . 7
3.2.2 Collapse-Resistant Design Category . . . 7
3.2.2.1 Collapse-Resistant Design Category A . . . 7
3.2.2.2 Collapse-Resistant Design Categories B, C, and D . . . 7
3.2.3 Collapse-Resistant Design Categories for Multiple Hazards . . . 7
3.3 Hazards . . . 8
3.3.1 Hazard Identification . . . 8
3.3.1.1 Abnormal Events . . . 8
3.3.1.2 Malicious Threats . . . 8
3.3.2 Hazard Assessment . . . 8
3.3.2.1 Non-Threat-Specific Hazard Scenarios . . . 8
3.3.2.2 Threat-Specific Hazard Scenarios . . . 8
3.4 Building Vulnerability . . . 8
3.5 Consequences . . . 8
3.6 Risk Mitigation . . . 8
3.6.1 Basis for Risk Mitigation . . . 8
3.6.2 Peer Review . . . 8
3.7 Risk Acceptance and Communication . . . 8
3.7.1 Acceptable Risk . . . 8
3.7.2 Documentation and Risk Communication . . . 8

4 PERFORMANCE CRITERIA . . . 9
4.1 General Structural Integrity . . . 9
4.2 Hazard Scenario Definitions . . . 9
4.2.1 Primary and Secondary Elements and Components . . . 9
4.2.2 Non-Threat-Specific Initial Damage Scenarios . . . 9
4.2.2.1 Hazard Independent Damage Scenarios Level H-1 . . . 9
4.2.2.2 Hazard Independent Damage Scenarios Level H-2 . . . 9
4.2.2.3 Hazard Independent Damage Scenarios Level H-3 . . . 9
4.2.2.4 Hazard Independent Damage Scenarios Level H-4 . . . 9
4.2.3 Threat-Specific Initial Damage Scenarios . . . 9
4.2.4 Load Combinations . . . 9
4.3 Performance Objectives . . . 9
4.3.1 Area of Influence Associated with Damage Scenarios . . . 9

4.3.2 Performance Requirements. . . . 10
4.3.2.1 Performance Requirements for Collapse Resistant Design Category A 10
4.3.2.2 Performance Requirements for Collapse Resistant Design Category B 10
4.3.2.3 Performance Requirements for Collapse Resistant Design Category C 10
4.3.2.4 Performance Requirements for Collapse Resistant Design Category D 10
4.3.3 Component Performance Levels 10
4.3.4 Partial Collapse and Debris Loading 10
4.4 Documentation 10

5 ANALYSIS AND DESIGN 11
5.1 Overview of Approach 11
5.2 Design and Analysis Approaches 11
5.3 Alternative Load Path Analysis 11
5.3.1 General 11
5.3.2 Load and Resistance Factor Design for Alternative Load Path Analysis 11
5.3.3 Live Load Reduction. . . . 11
5.3.4 Component Capacities 11
5.3.4.1 Component Capacities for Nonlinear Procedures 11
5.3.4.2 Component Capacities for the Linear Static Procedure 11
5.3.5 Hazard Scenario 11
5.3.6 Evaluation of Failure and Collapse 11
5.3.6.1 Structure with No Failed Components 12
5.3.6.2 Structure with Failed Components but No Collapse 12
5.3.6.3 Structure with Failed Components and Partial Collapse 12
5.3.7 Linear Static Procedure 12
5.3.7.1 Limitations on the Use of Linear Static Procedure 12
5.3.7.2 Analytical Modeling 13
5.3.7.3 Stability/P-Delta Effects 13
5.3.7.4 Loading. . . . 13
5.3.7.5 Load Increase Factor. . . . 14
5.3.7.6 Design Forces and Deformations 14
5.3.7.7 Component Acceptance Criteria 15
5.3.8 Nonlinear Static Procedure. . . . 15
5.3.8.1 Limitations on the Use of Nonlinear Static Procedure 15
5.3.8.2 Analytical Modeling 15
5.3.8.3 Stability/P-Delta Effects 15
5.3.8.4 Loading. . . . 15
5.3.8.5 Dynamic Increase Factor for Nonlinear Static Procedure. . . . 15
5.3.8.6 Design Forces and Deformations 16
5.3.8.7 Component Acceptance Criteria 16
5.3.9 Nonlinear Dynamic Procedure. . . . 16
5.3.9.1 Limitations on the Use of Nonlinear Dynamic Procedure 16
5.3.9.2 Analytical Modeling 16
5.3.9.3 Lateral Stability and P-Delta Effects 16
5.3.9.4 Loading. . . . 16
5.3.9.5 Design Forces and Deformations 16
5.3.9.6 Component Acceptance Criteria 16
5.4 Deemed to Comply Solutions 16
5.4.1 Hazard Independent Damage Scenarios-1 16
5.4.2 Hazard Independent Damage Scenarios-2 16
5.4.3 Hazard Independent Damage Scenarios-3 16
5.4.4 Hazard Independent Damage Scenarios-4 16
5.5 Alternative Rational Analysis 17

6 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA 19
6.1 Scope 19
6.2 Deformation- and Force-Controlled Actions. . . . 19
6.3 Analysis Based on Linear Static Procedure 19
6.3.1 Deformation-Controlled Actions 19
6.3.2 Force-Controlled Actions 22
6.4 Analysis Based on Nonlinear Procedures 22
6.4.1 Deformation-Controlled Actions 22
6.4.2 Force-Controlled Actions 23

7 STRUCTURAL DETAILING . . . 25
7.1 Concrete Connections . . . 25
7.2 Steel Connections . . . 25
7.3 Other Detailing . . . 25

8 EXISTING BUILDINGS . . . 27
8.1 General . . . 27
8.2 Investigation of Existing Buildings . . . 27
8.2.1 Methodology . . . 27
8.2.2 Data Collection Requirements . . . 27
8.3 Uncertainty in Construction . . . 27
8.4 Reinforced Concrete Properties . . . 27
8.5 Structural Steel Properties . . . 28

9 PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION . . . 29
9.1 Scope . . . 29
9.2 Peer Review . . . 29
9.3 Building Structural Components . . . 29
9.3.1 Performance Qualification by Full-Scale Testing . . . 29
9.3.2 Performance Qualification by Analysis and Design . . . 29
9.4 Building Nonstructural Components . . . 29
9.4.1 Performance Qualification by Full-Scale Testing . . . 29
9.4.2 Performance Qualification by Analysis and Design . . . 30

COMMENTARY FOR ASCE/SEI 76-23

C1 GENERAL . . . 31
C1.1 Purpose . . . 31
C1.2 Scope . . . 31
C1.2.1 Organization . . . 31
C1.2.2 Applicability . . . 31
C1.3 Basic Requirements . . . 32
C1.3.1 Typical Strength and Robustness Procedures . . . 32
C1.3.2 Alternative Rational Procedures . . . 32
C1.3.2.1 Analysis . . . 32
C1.3.2.2 Testing . . . 32
C1.3.2.3 Peer Review . . . 32
C1.4 Documentation of Design . . . 32
C1.5 Disclaimer . . . 32
C1.5.1 Qualifications . . . 32
C1.5.2 Information Sensitivity . . . 33

C2 DEFINITIONS . . . 35
C2.1 Abnormal Event . . . 35
C2.2 Action . . . 35
C2.3 Alternative Load Paths . . . 35
C2.4 Authority Having Jurisdiction . . . 35
C2.5 Client . . . 35
C2.6 Collapse Resistance . . . 35
C2.7 Collapse-Resistant Design Category . . . 35
C2.9 Consequences . . . 35
C2.10 Continuity . . . 35
C2.11 Deformation-Controlled Actions . . . 35
C2.12 Design Criteria . . . 35
C2.13 Design Approaches . . . 35
C2.14 Design Objectives . . . 35
C2.16 Design Requirements . . . 36
C2.17 Direct Design . . . 36

C2.18 Disproportionate Collapse . . . 36
C2.19 Ductility . . . 36
C2.21 Event Control . . . 36
C2.22 Expected Strength . . . 36
C2.23 Exposure (Hazard) . . . 36
C2.24 Force-Controlled Action . . . 36
C2.25 Hazard Scenarios . . . 36
C2.26 Indirect Design . . . 36
C2.27 Initial Damage . . . 36
C2.28 Integrity (General Structural Integrity) . . . 36
C2.29 Key Element . . . 36
C2.30 Likelihood (Probability) . . . 36
C2.31 Local Resistance . . . 36
C2.32 Lower Bound Strength . . . 36
C2.33 Nominal Strength . . . 37
C2.34 Nonstructural Methods . . . 37
C2.37 Partial Collapse . . . 37
C2.38 Performance Objectives . . . 37
C2.39 Prescribed Local Resistance . . . 37
C2.41 Progressive Collapse . . . 37
C2.42 Propagating Action . . . 37
C2.43 Protection . . . 37
C2.44 Redundancy . . . 37
C2.45 Reliability . . . 37
C2.46 Required Member Resistance (or Required Strength) . . . 37
C2.47 Resistance Factor . . . 37
C2.49 Robustness . . . 37
C2.50 Segment . . . 37
C2.52 Specific Local Resistance . . . 37
C2.53 Structural Methods . . . 37
C2.54 Threat-Specific Design . . . 37
C2.55 Verification Procedures . . . 37

C3 RISK ASSESSMENT . . . 39
C3.1 General Design Requirements . . . 39
C3.1.1 Objectives . . . 39
C3.1.2 Scope . . . 39
C3.1.3 Administrative Requirements . . . 39
C3.2 Risk Assessment . . . 39
C3.2.1 Quantification of Risk . . . 39
C3.2.2 Collapse-Resistant Design Category . . . 40
C3.2.2.1 Collapse-Resistant Design Category A . . . 40
C3.2.2.2 Collapse-Resistant Design Categories B, C, and D . . . 40
C3.2.3 Collapse-Resistant Design Categories for Multiple Hazards . . . 40
C3.3 Hazards . . . 40
C3.3.1.1 Abnormal Events . . . 40
C3.3.1.2 Malicious Threats . . . 40
C3.3.2 Hazard Assessment . . . 41
C3.3.2.1 Non-Threat-Specific Hazard Scenarios . . . 41
C3.3.2.2 Threat-Specific Hazard Scenarios . . . 41
C3.4 Building Vulnerability . . . 41
C3.5 Consequences . . . 41
C3.6 Risk Mitigation . . . 41
C3.6.1 Basis for Risk Mitigation . . . 41
C3.6.2 Peer Review . . . 42
C3.7 Risk Acceptance and Communication . . . 42
C3.7.1 Acceptable Risk . . . 42
C3.7.2 Documentation and Risk Communication . . . 42

C4 PERFORMANCE CRITERIA . . . 43
C4.1 General Structural Integrity . . . 43
C4.2 Hazard Scenario Definitions . . . 44
C4.2.1 Primary and Secondary Elements . . . 44

C4.2.2 Non-Threat-Specific Initial Damage Scenarios . . . 44
C4.2.2.1 Hazard-Independent Damage Scenario Level H-1 . . . 44
C4.2.2.2 Hazard-Independent Damage Scenario Level H-2 . . . 44
C4.2.2.3 Hazard-Independent Damage Scenario Level H-3 . . . 44
C4.2.2.4 Hazard-Independent Damage Scenario Level H-4 . . . 44
C4.2.3 Threat-Specific Initial Damage Scenarios . . . 44
C4.2.4 Load Combinations . . . 44
C4.3.1 Area of Influence Associated with Damage Scenarios . . . 44
C4.3.2.1 Performance Requirements for Collapse-Resistant Design Category A . . . 45
C4.3.2.2 Performance Requirements for Collapse-Resistant Design Category B . . . 45
C4.3.2.3 Performance Requirements for Collapse-Resistant Design Category C . . . 45
C4.3.2.4 Performance Requirements for Collapse-Resistant Design Category D . . . 45
C4.3.3 Component Performance Levels . . . 45
C4.3.4 Partial Collapse and Debris Loading . . . 45
C4.4 Documentation . . . 45

C5 ANALYSIS AND DESIGN . . . 47
C5.1 Overview of Approach . . . 47
C5.3 Alternative Load Path Analysis . . . 47
C5.3.1 General . . . 47
C5.3.2 Load and Resistance Factor Design for Alternative Load Path Analysis . . . 47
C5.3.4.2 Component Capacities for the Linear Static Procedure . . . 47
C5.3.6 Evaluation of Failure and Collapse . . . 47
C5.3.6.1 Structure with No Failed Components . . . 48
C5.3.6.2 Structure with Failed Components but No Collapse . . . 48
C5.3.6.3 Structure with Failed Components and Partial Collapse . . . 48
C5.3.7.1 Limitations on the Use of Linear Static Procedure . . . 48
C5.3.7.2 Analytical Modeling . . . 48
C5.3.7.5 Load Increase Factor . . . 49
C5.3.8.2 Analytical Modeling . . . 49
C5.3.8.5 Dynamic Increase Factor for Nonlinear Static Procedure . . . 49
C5.3.9.1 Limitations on the Use of Nonlinear Dynamic Procedure . . . 49
C5.3.9.2 Analytical Modeling . . . 50
C5.4.3 Hazard-Independent Damage Scenario-3 . . . 50
C5.5 Alternative Rational Analysis . . . 50

C6 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA . . . 51
C6.1 Scope . . . 51
C6.2 Deformation- and Force-Controlled Actions . . . 51
C6.3 Analysis Based on Linear Static Procedure . . . 51
C6.3.1 Deformation-Controlled Actions . . . 51
C6.3.2 Force-Controlled Actions . . . 51
C6.4 Analysis Based on Nonlinear Procedures . . . 51
C6.4.1 Deformation-Controlled Actions . . . 51
C6.4.2 Force-Controlled Actions . . . 52

C7 STRUCTURAL DETAILING . . . 53
C7.1 Concrete Connections . . . 53
C7.2 Steel Connections . . . 53
C7.3 Other Detailing . . . 53

C8 EXISTING BUILDINGS . . . 55
C8.1 General . . . 55
C8.2 Investigation of Existing Buildings . . . 55
C8.2.2 Data Collection Requirements . . . 55
C8.3 Uncertainty in Construction . . . 55
C8.5 Structural Steel Properties . . . 56

C9 PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION . . . 57
C9.1 Scope . . . 57
C9.2 Peer Review . . . 57
C9.3.1 Performance Qualification by Full-Scale Testing . . . 57
C9.3.2 Performance Qualification by Analysis and Design . . . 58
C9.4.1 Performance Qualification by Full-Scale Testing . . . 58
C9.4.2 Performance Qualification by Analysis and Design . . . 58

REFERENCES . . . 59

INDEX . . . 61

PREFACE

Since the Ronan Point apartment building collapse in London in 1968, the structural engineering profession has engaged in serious discussion and research about causes and implications of widespread structural failure initiated by local damage. In the decades that followed, this work and additional studies of subsequent catastrophic structural failures have advanced the body of knowledge for enhancing robustness and limiting collapse when buildings are damaged. Numerous papers and several guide documents have informed structural engineers, but a single consensus perspective for mitigating disproportionate collapse has not been available.

Discussion about a standard for disproportionate collapse mitigation began when the Disproportionate Collapse Standard and Guidance Committee, met at the Structural Engineering Institute (SEI) Structures Congress in 2009. Subsequently, advocates for a standard formally proposed that SEI authorize a committee to develop a standard on the topic of disproportionate collapse mitigation. A committee was approved and met for the first time at the SEI Structures Congress in 2012. After developing a draft document and a series of ballots, the committee sent its proposed document to the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) for Public Ballot in 2021. Public balloting finished in 2023, leading to the publication of this standard.

The committee endeavored to make this standard performance-based, allowing engineers maximum flexibility when finding solutions to mitigate the potential for disproportionate collapse. The standard does not dictate a course of action, nor does it prescribe solutions to resist collapse. It does not address blunders in design or construction. Guidance is given about risk assessments and avoidance, as well as characteristics that enhance collapse resistance, including the strength, ductility, deformation capacity, and robustness necessary to resist collapse without exceeding relevant limit states. These goals may be demonstrated by procedures outlined in the document, or by approved alternate rational analyses.

This standard is intended to address the same structures covered by ASCE 7-16, *Minimum Design Loads and Associated Criteria for Buildings and Other Structures*. The standard is written in mandatory language, with the expectation that it could be referenced by building codes or contracts documents.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

ASCE and its Structural Engineering Institute (SEI) gratefully acknowledge the devoted efforts of the Disproportionate Collapse Mitigation of Buildings and Other Structures Standards Committee. This committee comprises individuals from many backgrounds. This standard was prepared through ASCE's consensus standardization process in compliance with the ASCE Rules for Standards Committees and the procedures of ASCE's Codes and Standards Committee (CSC). ASCE's standardization process is accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI).

Committee Members
Donald Dusenberry, P.E., F.SEI, F.ASCE, *Chair*
Farid Alfawakhiri, Ph.D., P.E., P.Eng, M.ASCE
Peter Blakely, S.E., M.ASCE
Jared Brewe, Ph.D., P.E., S.E., M.ASCE
Vincent Chiarito, P.E., F.SEI, M.ASCE
Matthew Cooper, P.E., M.ASCE
David Cormie, M.ASCE
Bradford Douglas, P.E., M.ASCE
Bruce Ellingwood, Ph.D., P.E., NAE, F.SEI, Dist.M.ASCE, *Chapter 3 Leader*
David Fanella, P.E., F.SEI, F.ASCE
Caroline Field, CEng MICE, P.E., M.ASCE
Simon Foo
Feng Fu, Ph.D., CEng, F.ASCE, FICE, FISructE
Michel Ghosn, Ph.D., F.SEI, M.ASCE
Ramon Gilsanz, P.E., S.E., F.SEI, F.ASCE, Hon. AIA NYS
Nathan Gould, D.Sc., P.E., S.E., F.SEI, M.ASCE, *Chapter 9 Leader*
Jesse Karns, P.E., S.E., M.ASCE
Ahmed Khalil, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE
Bradford Kiefer
Sashi Kunnath, Ph.D., P.E., F.SEI, F.ASCE
Dennis Lam, P.E.
H.S. Lew, Ph.D., P.E., F.SEI, F.ASCE, *Chapter 6 Co-Leader*
Judy Liu, Ph.D., A.M.ASCE
Shalva Marjanishvili, Ph.D., P.E., S.E., F.SEI, M.ASCE
Michael Mudlock, P.E., M.ASCE, Secretary, *Chapter 1 Leader*
Lawrence Novak, S.E., F.SEI, M.ASCE
Michael Oestterle
Sarah Orton, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE
Nabil Rahman, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE
Fahim Sadek, Ph.D., P.E., F.SEI, M.ASCE, *Chapter 6 Co-Leader*
Mehrdad Sasani, Ph.D., P.E., F.SEI, F.ASCE
Robert Smilowitz, Ph.D., P.E., F.SEI, M.ASCE, *Chapters 7 and 8 Leader*
David Stevens, Ph.D., P.E., F.SEI, M.ASCE, *Chapter 5 Leader*
Ying Tian, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE
Mark Waggoner, P.E., M.ASCE, *Chapters 2 and 4 Leader*
Eric Williamson, Ph.D., P.E., F.SEI, M.ASCE

Committee Associate Members
John Abruzzo, P.E.
Ali Al Aloosi, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE
Brian Crowder, P.E.
Seda Dogruel, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE
Curtis Geise, A.M.ASCE
Willie Hirano
Joseph Main, Ph.D., M.ASCE, *Chapter 6 Co-Leader*
Aldo McKay, P.E., M.ASCE
Paul Mlakar, P.E., F.SEI, Dist.M.ASCE
Karl Rubenacker, P.E., S.E., F.SEI, M.ASCE

Nonmember Contributors
Brett Agee
Ady Aviram, Ph.D., P.E. (CA)
Joseph Gannon, P.E.
Marco Haberland, Dr.-Ing
Kirk Marchand, P.E.
Macarena Schachter, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE
Uwe Starossek, Dr.-Ing., P.E, M.ASCE

CHAPTER 1
GENERAL

1.1 PURPOSE

The purpose of this standard is to provide principles and minimum requirements to mitigate disproportionate collapse potential of new and existing buildings and other structures.

1.2 SCOPE

This standard provides minimum requirements for planning, assessment, analysis, material selection, design and detailing, construction, and qualification testing to mitigate disproportionate collapse of new and existing buildings and other structures. This standard includes threat-specific and non-threat-specific methodologies and identifies direct design and indirect design approaches.

This standard does not prescribe requirements for blast design. This topic is addressed in other documents (e.g., ASCE 59, *Blast Protection of Buildings*).

1.2.1 Organization

This standard is organized as follows:

- Chapter 1 provides general requirements.
- Chapter 2 provides terminology used throughout this standard.
- Chapter 3 defines requirements for performing a risk assessment to mitigate disproportionate collapse.
- Chapter 4 provides design objectives, including general initiating hazard scenarios and performance objectives.
- Chapter 5 presents technical approaches to design new buildings, determine the capacity of existing buildings to resist disproportionate collapse, and develop upgrades to existing buildings to mitigate disproportionate collapse.
- Chapter 6 provides acceptance criteria for component and system performance based on the selected analysis/design approach, construction materials, and structural systems.
- Chapter 7 provides minimum requirements and intents for structural detailing of various materials expected to be used as part of a disproportionate collapse mitigation strategy.
- Chapter 8 provides minimum requirements and discussion to evaluate and enhance the robustness of existing buildings and other structures.
- Chapter 9 provides procedures and standards for the peer review of the design of structures to resist disproportionate collapse and the verification of performance of selected components and structural assemblages.

1.2.2 Applicability The provisions in this standard are applicable to buildings and other structures that are within the scope of ASCE 7, *Minimum Design Loads and Associated Criteria for Buildings and Other Structures.*

1.3 BASIC REQUIREMENTS

Buildings and other structures shall be designed and constructed with adequate strength, ductility and deformation capacity, and robustness to provide resistance to disproportionate collapse. Acceptable performance shall be demonstrated using one or both of the following procedures:

1. Typical strength and robustness procedures of Section 1.3.1, and
2. Subject to the approval of the Authority Having Jurisdiction (AHJ) for individual projects, the alternative rational procedures of Section 1.3.2.

It shall be permitted to use either or both procedures for different parts of a structure and for different hazard scenarios providing the intent of this standard is met.

1.3.1 Typical Strength and Robustness Procedures Structural systems and their connections shall have adequate strength, ductility and deformation capacity, and robustness to resist disproportionate collapse in conformance with Chapters 3 through 9 of this standard, without exceeding the applicable limit states for the materials of construction.

1.3.2 Alternative Rational Procedures Structural systems and their connections shall be demonstrated by analysis or by a combination of analysis and testing to provide a reliability not less than that expected for similar systems and connections designed in accordance with the strength, ductility and deformation capacity, and robustness procedures of Section 1.3.1 when subject to initial damage identified as critical for the performance of the structure. Consideration shall be given to uncertainties in loadings, initial damage, and resistance.

1.3.2.1 Analysis Analysis shall employ rational methods based on accepted principles of engineering mechanics and shall consider all significant sources of deformation and resistance. Assumptions of stiffness, strength, damping, ductility, and other properties of components and connections incorporated in the analysis shall be based on approved test data or referenced standards. Analyses shall conform to the requirements of Chapter 5, Section 5.5, and Chapter 9 of this standard.

1.3.2.2 Testing Testing used to substantiate the performance of structural systems and connections under the influence of hazard scenarios shall accurately represent the materials, configuration, construction, loading, and boundary conditions anticipated in the structure. Testing shall comply with Chapter 9 of this standard.

1.3.2.3 Peer Review The basis, procedures, and results of analyses, testing, and calculations used to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of this standard shall be subject to an