PD CEN/TR 17603-60-10:2022



BSI Standards Publication

Space engineering — Control performance guidelines

Licensed copy: Techstreet Content, ISO Exchange - Michigan, Version correct as of 27/01/2023

bsi.

National foreword

This Published Document is the UK implementation of CEN/TR 17603-60-10:2022.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee ACE/68, Space systems and operations.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its committee manager.

Contractual and legal considerations

This publication has been prepared in good faith, however no representation, warranty, assurance or undertaking (express or implied) is or will be made, and no responsibility or liability is or will be accepted by BSI in relation to the adequacy, accuracy, completeness or reasonableness of this publication. All and any such responsibility and liability is expressly disclaimed to the full extent permitted by the law.

This publication is provided as is, and is to be used at the recipient's own risk.

The recipient is advised to consider seeking professional guidance with respect to its use of this publication.

This publication is not intended to constitute a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

© The British Standards Institution 2022
Published by BSI Standards Limited 2022

ISBN 978 0 539 18748 9

ICS 49.140

Compliance with a Published Document cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This Published Document was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 31 March 2022.

Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected

Licensed copy: Techstreet Content, ISO Exchange - Michigan, Version correct as of 27/01/2023.

TECHNICAL REPORT

RAPPORT TECHNIQUE

TECHNISCHER BERICHT

CEN/TR 17603-60-10

January 2022

ICS 49.140

English version

Space engineering - Control performance guidelines

Ingénierie spatiale - Lignes directrices des performances du contrôle

Raumfahrttechnik - Richtlinien für Leistung von Regelung/Steuerung

This Technical Report was approved by CEN on 29 November 2021. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 5.

CEN and CENELEC members are the national standards bodies and national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.





CEN-CENELEC Management Centre:
Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

© 2022 CEN/CENELEC All rights of exploitation in any form and by any means reserved worldwide for CEN national Members and for CENELEC Members.

Licensed copy: Techstreet Content, ISO Exchange - Michigan, Version correct as of 27/01/2023.

Licensed copy: Techstreet Content, ISO Exchange - Michigan, Version correct as of 27/01/2023.

Table of contents

European Foreword....7

Introduction....8

1 Scope....10

2 References....11

3 Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms....12

3.1 Terms from other documents....12

3.2 Terms specific to the present handbook....12

3.3 Abbreviated terms....16

4 General outline for control performance process....18

4.1 The general control structure....18

4.1.1 Description of the general control structure – Extension to system level....18

4.1.2 General performance definitions....19

4.1.3 Example – Earth observation satellite....20

4.2 Review of generic performance specification elements....21

4.2.1 General....21

4.2.2 Preliminary remark on intrinsic and extrinsic performance properties....21

4.2.3 Examples of high-level performance requirements....23

4.2.4 Formalising requirements through performance indicators....25

4.3 Overview on performance specification and verification process....27

4.3.1 Introduction....27

4.3.2 Requirements capture & dissemination....28

4.3.3 Performance verification....29

4.3.4 Control performance engineering tasks during development phases....31

5 Extrinsic performance – error indices and analysis methods....38

5.1 Introduction....38

5.2 Performance and measurement error indices....38

5.2.1 Definition of error function 38
5.2.2 Definition of error indices....39
5.2.3 Common performance error indices 39
5.2.4 Common knowledge error indices 41
5.3 Formulation of performance requirements using error indices....42
5.3.1 Structure of a requirement....42
5.3.2 Choice of error function 42
5.3.3 Use of error indices 43
5.3.4 Statistical interpretation of a requirement 43
5.3.5 Formulation of Knowledge Requirements....46
5.4 Assessing compliance with a performance requirement 46
5.4.1 Overview....46
5.4.2 Experimental approach 47
5.4.3 Numerical simulations 47
5.4.4 Use of an error budget 49
5.5 Performance error budgeting 50
5.5.1 Overview....50
5.5.2 Identifying errors 50
5.5.3 Statistics of contributing terms 51
5.5.4 Combination of error terms....52
5.5.5 Comparison with requirements....53
5.5.6 Practical use of a budget (Synthesis) 53

6 Intrinsic performance indicators for closed-loop controlled systems....56

6.1 Overview 56
6.2 Closed-loop controlled systems 57
6.2.1 General closed-loop structure 57
6.2.2 General definitions for closed-loop controlled systems....57
6.3 Stability of a closed-loop controlled system....59
6.4 Stability margins 60
6.4.2 Stability margins for SISO LTI systems 60
6.4.3 Stability margins for MIMO LTI system – S and T criteria 62
6.4.4 Why specifying stability margins?....64
6.5 Level of robustness of a closed-loop controlled system 65
6.6 Time & Frequency domain behaviour of a closed-loop controlled system 65
6.6.1 Overview....65
6.6.2 Time domain indicators (transient) 65
6.6.3 Frequency domain performance indicators 67

Licensed copy: Techstreet Content, ISO Exchange - Michigan, Version correct as of 27/01/2023.

6.7 Formulation of performance requirements for closed-loop controlled systems 70
6.7.1 General 70
6.7.2 Structure of a requirement 70
6.7.3 Specification for general systems (possibly MIMO, coupled or nested loops) 71
6.7.4 Example of stability margins requirement 71
6.8 Assessing compliance with performance requirements 72
6.8.1 Guidelines for stability and stability margins verification 72
6.8.2 Methods for (systematic) robustness assessment 73

7 Hierarchy of control performance requirements 74
7.1 Overview 74
7.2 From top level requirements down to design rules 74
7.2.1 General 74
7.2.2 Top level requirements 74
7.2.3 Intermediate level requirements 75
7.2.4 Lower level requirements – Design rules 75
7.3 The risks of counterproductive requirements 76
7.3.1 An example of counterproductive requirement 76
7.3.2 How to avoid counterproductive control performance requirements? 76

Annex A LTI systems 77
A.1 Overview 77
A.2 General properties of LTI systems 77
A.2.1 Simplified structure of a closed-loop controlled system 77
A.2.2 Representation of LTI systems 78
A.3 On stability margins of SISO and MIMO LTI systems 80
A.3.1 Interpretation of stability margins 80
A.3.2 Analysis of stability margins – some illustrations 82

Annex B Appendices to clause 5: Guidelines and mathematical elements 84
B.1 Error Indices with domains other than time 84
B.2 Considerations regarding time intervals 85
B.3 Relationship between error indices and physical quantities 85
B.4 Statistics for Monte Carlo Minimum Number of Runs 87
B.5 Determining the error PDFs 88
B.5.1 Overview 88
B.5.2 White noise 88
B.5.3 Biases 89

Licensed copy: Techstreet Content, ISO Exchange - Michigan, Version correct as of 27/01/2023.

B.5.4 Uniform random errors 90
B.5.5 Harmonic errors 90
B.5.6 Drift Errors 91
B.5.7 Transient Errors 92
B.5.8 Others (General Analysis Methods) 93
B.5.9 Distributions of Ensemble Parameters 94
B.6 Mathematics of an Error Budget 95
B.6.1 Probability distributions and the statistical interpretation 95
B.6.2 Exact error combination methods 96
B.6.3 Alternative approximation formulae 97

Annex C Satellite AOCS case study 98
C.1 Introduction 98
C.2 Satellite AOCS architecture 98
C.3 From Image quality to AOCS requirements 98
C.4 Formulation of the requirements C.3a1 to C.3a4 using error indices 101
C.4.1 General 101
C.4.2 Choice of signal error function 102
C.4.3 Choice of error indices and maximum values 102
C.4.4 Assigning a probability density function (PDF) 102
C.4.5 Choice of statistical interpretation (temporal, ensemble, mixed…) 103
C.4.6 Requirements formulation 103
C.5 Formulation of requirements C.3b1 and C.3b2 104
C.6 Control Performance verification principles 104
C.6.1 Choice of verification method 104
C.6.2 Compiling the error budget (requirements C.3a1 to C.3a4) 105
C.6.3 Assessing compliance to control loop requirements C.3b1 and C.3b2 110
C.7 Performance budget examples 111
C.7.1 Overview 111
C.7.2 Pointing Knowledge Budget 111
C.7.3 Pointing budget 114
C.7.4 Pointing stability Budget (Requirements C.3a3 and C.3a4) 116

Figures

Figure 4-1 General control structure, ECSS-E-HB-60A 18
Figure 4-2 General control structure extended up to system level 19
Figure 4-3 Example of requirements capture and dissemination for a typical AOCS case 30

Licensed copy: Techstreet Content, ISO Exchange - Michigan, Version correct as of 27/01/2023.

Figure 4-4 Example of pointing performance verification, for a typical mission profile.....30
Figure 5-1 Illustration of the different ways of meeting a requirement. 44
Figure 5-2 Statistics for the different statistical interpretations. L-R: temporal interpretation, ensemble interpretation, mixed interpretation 44
Figure 6-1 Simplified scheme for a closed-loop controlled system.....57
Figure 6-2 Example of gain and phase margins identification.....62
Figure 6-3 Illustration of the transient response indicators.....66
Figure 6-4 Bandwidth, cut-off frequency and rejection of resonances.....69

Tables

Table 3-1: Relationships of the definitions of the different kinds of performance, performance knowledge and their corresponding errors.....16
Table 4-1 Example of a control structure breakdown for an Earth observation satellite 21
Table 4-2 Example of AOCS extrinsic and intrinsic specifiable performances 22
Table 4-3 General template for building extrinsic performance indicators.....26
Table 4-4 Summary of control performance engineering tasks.....28
Table 4-5 Summary of the control performance management activities during the phases of mission development (guidelines only).....31
Table 4-6 Control performance engineering inputs, tasks and outputs, Phase 0/A.....32
Table 4-7 Control performance engineering inputs, tasks and outputs, Phase B.....34
Table 4-8 Control performance engineering inputs, tasks and outputs, Phase C/D 36
Table 4-9 Control performance engineering inputs, tasks and outputs, Phase E/F.....37
Table 5-1 Minimum number of simulation runs required to verify a requirement at confidence level Pc to a verification confidence of 95 %.....49
Table 5-2 Example of a table used for a performance budget (APE for Euler angles) 55
Table 6-1 Formulas for the usual SISO stability margins.....61

Licensed copy: Techstreet Content, ISO Exchange - Michigan, Version correct as of 27/01/2023.

European Foreword

This document (CEN/TR 17603-60-10:2022) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 5 “Space”, the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

It is highlighted that this technical report does not contain any requirement but only collection of data or descriptions and guidelines about how to organize and perform the work in support of EN 16603-60.

This Technical report (CEN/TR 17603-60-10:2022) originates from ECSS-E-HB-60-10A.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This document has been prepared under a mandate given to CEN by the European Commission and the European Free Trade Association.

This document has been developed to cover specifically space systems and has therefore precedence over any TR covering the same scope but with a wider domain of applicability (e.g.: aerospace).

Licensed copy: Techstreet Content, ISO Exchange - Michigan, Version correct as of 27/01/2023.

Licensed copy: Techstreet Content, ISO Exchange - Michigan, Version correct as of 27/01/2023.

Introduction

This document focuses on the specific issues raised by managing all performance aspects of control systems in the frame of space projects. It provides a set of practical definitions, engineering rules, recommendations and guidelines to be used when specifying or verifying the performance of a general control system; attention was paid by the authors to keep the application field as open as possible, and not to restrict to a specific domain – such as spacecraft attitude control for example.

It is not intended to substitute to textbook material on automatic control theory. The readers and the users are assumed to possess general knowledge of control system engineering and its applications to space missions. Nevertheless when required – to avoid any risks of ambiguity for example, or for the clearness of the presentation – some basic definitions and rules are provided in dedicated annexes.

This document was originally intended to focus on the specific case of pointing systems and AOCS, starting from an existing ESA handbook [Pointing Error Handbook, ESA-NCR-502], to be updated, completed, and extended to be built up as an applicable ECSS document. But after reviewing the scope, this approach appeared somewhat restrictive:

- restricting performance concepts to "pointing" does not allow to deal with problems such as thermal control, position control (robotics), or more generally any other type of control systems, even though these problems share the same theoretical framework;
- AOCS is one major contributor to the overall system pointing performance, yet not the only one: misalignments, thermoelastic effects, payload behaviour, etc. all contribute to the final performance. This remark can be extended to general systems, considering that the controlled part is but one of the contributors.

Accounting for these remarks led to extending the initial scope of this document. The upgraded objective is to set up a generalised framework introducing performance definitions, performance indices and budget calculations. "Generalised" is understood here in two directions:

- transversally, so as to be applicable independently on the physical nature of the control system (not only pointing),
- and vertically, in the sense that in many practical situations the proposed definitions and techniques can also apply to any part of the system (basically to the controlled part, but not restrictively). This should assure consistency between the performances indices (error budgets) of the complete system and of the controlled system part. Motivation is also that dedicated but generic methods for budget breakdown can be applied on different levels i.e. on system level and on controlled system level.

NOTE 1 The idea of defining a general framework applying from equipment level to system level is driven by a concern for technical and conceptual consistency. In a later phase, relevant system aspects can be transferred or copied to the appropriate System Engineering standard – if found more convenient.

NOTE 2 The general control structure from the Control Engineering handbook [ECSS-E-HB-60A, Figure 4-1] has been extended in support, showing also the system performance in the output (Figure 4-2 of this handbook)

NOTE 3 The objective of this document is not to cover the high level system or mission performance aspects, which clearly belong to a different category.

In addition to this will for general and generic concepts, a clause of this document covers the performance issues which are more specific for the controlled systems themselves (mainly involving feedback loops in practice) or which are based on well-known control methods. The need for this clause arises as such systems call for particular technical know-how and feature specific performance indicators that require additional insight. For example: stability and robustness properties, transient responses (settling time, response time etc.) and frequency domain indicators.

Although this document is designed to be as general as possible, clearly in practice pointing and AOCS issues are the most demanding space engineering disciplines in terms of control systems. They are covered by an informative annex of the document which declines the general concepts and illustrates how pointing issues can be managed as a special case of vector-type data on a high resolution Earth observation mission.

Driven by a similar concern for illustration on space engineering applications of practical interest, another annex of the document shows how to decline the general concepts to deal with the control performance issue arisen by robotics applications.

Licensed copy: Techstreet Content, ISO Exchange - Michigan, Version correct as of 27/01/2023.

Licensed copy: Techstreet Content, ISO Exchange - Michigan, Version correct as of 27/01/2023.

1 Scope

This Handbook deals with control systems developed as part of a space project. It is applicable to all the elements of a space system, including the space segment, the ground segment and the launch service segment.

It addresses the issue of control performance, in terms of definition, specification, verification and validation methods and processes.

The handbook establishes a general framework for handling performance indicators, which applies to all disciplines involving control engineering, and which can be declined as well at different levels ranging from equipment to system level. It also focuses on the specific performance indicators applicable to the case of closed-loop control systems.

Rules and guidelines are provided allowing to combine different error sources in order to build up a performance budget and to assess the compliance with a requirement.

This version of the handbook does not cover control performance issues in the frame of launch systems.