PD ISO/TR 24419-2:2023



BSI Standards Publication

Mine closure and reclamation — Managing mining legacies

Part 2: Case studies and bibliography

bsi.

National foreword

This Published Document is the UK implementation of ISO/TR 24419-2:2023.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee MRE/1, Mining mechanical equipment and machinery.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its committee manager.

Contractual and legal considerations

This publication has been prepared in good faith, however no representation, warranty, assurance or undertaking (express or implied) is or will be made, and no responsibility or liability is or will be accepted by BSI in relation to the adequacy, accuracy, completeness or reasonableness of this publication. All and any such responsibility and liability is expressly disclaimed to the full extent permitted by the law.

This publication is provided as is, and is to be used at the recipient's own risk.

The recipient is advised to consider seeking professional guidance with respect to its use of this publication.

This publication is not intended to constitute a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

This publication is not to be regarded as a British Standard.

© The British Standards Institution 2023
Published by BSI Standards Limited 2023

ISBN 978 0 539 18610 9

ICS 73.020

Compliance with a Published Document cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This Published Document was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 31 October 2023.

Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected

PD ISO/TR 24419-2:2023

ISO/TR 24419-2:2023(E)

ISO TC 82/SC 7

Date: 2023-10

TECHNICAL REPORT

Mine closure and reclamation — Managing mining legacies — Part 2: Case studies and bibliography

Fermeture et remise en état des mines — Gestion des héritages miniers — Partie 2: Études de cas et bibliographie

© ISO 2023

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office

CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8

CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva

Phone: +41 22 749 01 11

Email: copyright@iso.org

Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

 © ISO 2023 – All rights reserved

Contents

Foreword vi

Introduction vii

1 Scope 1

2 Normative references 1

3 Terms and definitions 1

4 Design engagement process for the Giant Mine remediation project, Yellowknife Northwest Territories, Canada 1
4.1 Aspect of managing mining legacies 1
4.2 Recognition of a problem that needed to be resolved 1
4.3 Steps involved and expertise/stakeholders involved 2
4.4 Evaluation of performance of this initiative 2
4.5 Key learnings for others 2

5 Mining legacy program performance evaluation and reporting — British Columbia (BC) Canada 2
5.1 General 2
5.2 Recognition of a problem that needed to be resolved 3
5.3 Steps involved and expertise/stakeholders involved 3
5.4 Evaluation of performance of this initiative 3
5.5 Key learnings for others 3

6 Remediation of the abandoned mines in the South Alligator uranium field, Northern Territory, Australia 3
6.1 Aspect of managing mining legacies 3
6.2 Background 4
6.3 Recognition of a problem that needed to be resolved 4
6.4 Steps involved and expertise/stakeholders involved 4
6.5 Evaluation of performance of this initiative 4
6.6 Key learnings for others 4

7 Valuing traditional knowledge within the Rum Jungle rehabilitation project, Northern Territory, Australia 5
7.1 Aspect of managing mining legacies 5
7.2 Recognition of a problem that needed to be resolved 5
7.3 Steps involved and expertise/stakeholders involved 5
7.4 Evaluation of performance of this initiative 5
7.5 Key learnings for others 6

8 Difficulties encountered during the closure of mines prompted improvements to legal instruments, Mali 6
8.1 Context of case study 6
8.2 The Kalana Mine 6
8.3 The Syama Mine 6
8.4 The Morila Mine 7
8.5 Key learnings from these examples for others 7

9 Government strategy for rehabilitation of abandoned asbestos mines in South Africa 7
9.1 Context of case study 7
9.2 National strategy for derelict and ownerless mines 8

© ISO 2023 – All rights reserved

9.3 Progress and challenges implementing national strategy 8
9.3.1 Legislative challenges 8
9.3.2 Process challenges 8
9.3.3 Technical challenges 8

10 Managing biodiversity offsets and mining legacy rehabilitation and care, South Africa 8
10.1 Recognition of a problem that needed to be resolved 8
10.1.1 Opportunity for biodiversity offsets 9
10.2 Existing tools and concepts for biodiversity offsets for mining legacies 9
10.3 Application of tools and concepts as funding mechanisms 10
10.4 Application challenges 10
10.5 Industry and society benefits 11

11 Potential limitations of mechanisms used to fund mining legacy programs, Western Australia, Australia 11
11.1 Aspect of managing mining legacies 11
11.2 Background 11
11.3 Unanticipated low interest rates 11
11.4 Key learnings for others 11

12 Long term financing of the perpetual obligations resulting from hard coal mining in Germany 12
12.1 Historic context 12
12.2 Origin of RAG-Stiftung (RAG Foundation) 12
12.3 The tasks of RAG-Foundation 12
12.4 Financing perpetual mine management obligations 12
12.5 Building up the foundation's assets 13
12.6 Supporting education, science and culture 13
12.7 The foundation's model for the future 13
12.8 Extract of statutes of relevance to RAG 13

13 Organising post-mining in France 13
13.1 Background 13
13.2 Introduction to post-mining legal arrangements 14
13.3 The French Mining Code 14
13.4 Progression toward mine closure and lease relinquishment 14
13.5 Mining risk prevention plans 15
13.6 Post mining management by the state 15
13.7 Conclusion 16

14 National review of abandoned mine land (AML) programs, United States of America 16
14.1 General 16
14.2 Review of hardrock AML programs 16
14.3 Partnerships 17
14.4 Cost challenges 17

15 Funding and resourcing legacy nickel mine rehabilitation, New Caledonia 17
15.1 General 17
15.2 Establishment of the Nickel Fund to rehabilitate legacy mines 17
15.3 Estimating the needs of the Nickel Fund 17
15.4 Management of the Nickel Fund 18
15.5 Illustrating key challenges with reference to the Thio Valley area 18
15.6 How the Thio valley community were engaged 18
15.7 Benefits from the program in the Thio valley 18

 © ISO 2023 – All rights reserved

15.8 Progress so far in the Thio valley **18**
15.9 Evaluating performance **19**
15.10 Maintenance of rehabilitated sites **19**

16 Reopening the Otanmäki Mine, Finland **19**
16.1 Aspect of managing mining legacies and source **19**
16.2 Recognition of a problem that needed to be resolved **19**
16.3 Steps involved and expertise/stakeholders involved **20**
16.4 Evaluation of performance of this initiative **20**
16.5 Key learnings for others **20**

17 Online monitoring and early alert system for tailings storage facilities (TSF), Chile **20**
17.1 Background **20**
17.2 Transparent tailings initiative **21**
17.3 Purpose of initiative **21**
17.4 System design **22**

18 Public private partnership funding mode of legacy Green Golden Lake coal mining rehabilitation program, China **23**
18.1 Introduction of China managing mine legacy **23**
18.2 Green Golden Lake coal mining rehabilitation program **23**
18.2.1 Background **23**
18.2.2 Recognition of a financial problem that needed to be resolved **23**
18.2.3 Evaluation of performance of this initiative **24**
18.2.4 Key learning for others **24**

19 Structured case studies **24**

Bibliography **37**

© ISO 2023 – All rights reserved

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

ISO draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 82, *Mining*, Subcommittee SC 7, *Mine closure and reclamation management*.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user’s national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at https://www.iso.org/members.html

© ISO 2023 – All rights reserved

Introduction

This document includes case studies and a bibliography provided by working group members and has been separated from the standard so that development of the two documents proceeded in parallel. These resources provide supporting information and illustration of various aspects of the managing mining legacy standard. While expanding upon some of the content of the guidance of the standard, it cannot be assumed that a particular strategy that was applied in one context is directly applicable to another. Not every clause in the standard has a corresponding case study. Instead, clause activities are revealed in an integrated way as they occur in practice within these cases. In addition to descriptive case studies, there are some table-format case studies toward the end, that illustrate how inventories can be structured and developed. The bibliography expands the resources available to those applying ISO 24419-1. This bibliography is not fully comprehensive of all global regions but instead are important and relevant at the time of preparation of the standard. As management of mining legacies evolves, further resources are likely to emerge. It is up to the user to adapt and apply their understandings of the ISO 24419-1 standard and this Technical Report to local circumstances.

© ISO 2023 – All rights reserved

TECHNICAL REPORT **ISO/TR 24419-2:2023(E)**

Mine closure and reclamation – Managing mining legacies – Part 2: Case studies and bibliography

1 Scope

This document is a supplementary document to the International standard for managing mining legacies (ISO 24419-1) that provides illustrative case studies and resources providing further reading. The content provided in this document covers various regions of the world as a supplement to ISO 24419-1. The purpose is to provide insight into specific case studies that show how practitioners have addressed mining legacy challenges in practice. This document does not provide instructions for managing mining legacies, but instead a resource with insights from other practitioners, thereby widening knowledge of what can be required to effectively manage mining legacies.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 24419-1, *Mine closure and reclamation — Managing mining legacies — Part 1: Requirements and recommendations*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 24419-1 apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

— ISO Online browsing platform: available at https://www.iso.org/obp

— IEC Electropedia: available at https://www.electropedia.org/

4 Design engagement process for the Giant Mine remediation project, Yellowknife Northwest Territories, Canada

4.1 Aspect of managing mining legacies

This case study describes stakeholder engagement and consultation for management of a large mining legacy by the Canadian Government to addressing the historic legacy whilst also developing a collaborative engagement process. See Reference [32].

4.2 Recognition of a problem that needed to be resolved

In 1999, the Giant Mine went into receivership and subsequently became an abandoned mine under the responsibility of the Government of Canada. The roughly 50 years period of gold mining resulted in significant

© ISO 2023 – All rights reserved