PD ISO/TR 9143:2023



BSI Standards Publication

Health informatics — Sex and gender in electronic health records

bsi.

National foreword

This Published Document is the UK implementation of ISO/TR 9143:2023.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee IST/35, Health informatics.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its committee manager.

Contractual and legal considerations

This publication has been prepared in good faith, however no representation, warranty, assurance or undertaking (express or implied) is or will be made, and no responsibility or liability is or will be accepted by BSI in relation to the adequacy, accuracy, completeness or reasonableness of this publication. All and any such responsibility and liability is expressly disclaimed to the full extent permitted by the law.

This publication is provided as is, and is to be used at the recipient's own risk.

The recipient is advised to consider seeking professional guidance with respect to its use of this publication.

This publication is not intended to constitute a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

This publication is not to be regarded as a British Standard.

© The British Standards Institution 2023
Published by BSI Standards Limited 2023

ISBN 978 0 539 24382 6

ICS 35.240.80

Compliance with a Published Document cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This Published Document was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 31 December 2023.

Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected

PD ISO/TR 9143:2023

TECHNICAL REPORT

ISO/TR 9143

First edition
2023-12

Health informatics — Sex and gender in electronic health records

Reference number
ISO/TR 9143:2023(E)

© ISO 2023



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2023

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

© ISO 2023 – All rights reserved

Contents

Page

Foreword ..... **iv**
Introduction ..... **v**
1 Scope **1**
2 Normative references **1**
3 Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms **1**
3.1 Terms and definitions 1
3.2 Abbreviated terms 2
4 Background **3**
5 Current state **3**
6 Challenges **4**
6.1 Overview 4
6.2 Electronic health record-related challenges 4
6.3 Person-level challenges in EHR 6
6.4 Cultural and linguistic challenges in EHR 7
7 Opportunities **8**
7.1 Overview 8
7.2 Benefits 8
Annex A (informative) **Identities which can be considered as falling under the nonbinary umbrella** **10**
Annex B (informative) **Identities which can be considered to be gender-diverse** **12**
Annex C (informative) **Conditions which can be considered to be intersex** **15**
Annex D (informative) **Published data elements for gender and sex international standards** **18**
Bibliography **21**

© ISO 2023 – All rights reserved

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

ISO draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 215, *Health informatics*.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user’s national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

© ISO 2023 – All rights reserved

Introduction

Often, considerations related to sex- and/or gender-related data in electronic health record (EHR) systems are thought of as purely a "how does an individual identify?" issue, greatly misrepresenting the extent of the systems involved. Currently, many systems rely on a single value which dictates most (if not all) of the internal logic of the EHR. It is used for everything from how to address patients, gendered expectations of patient appearance, patient bed placement, checking demographic fields for matches before surgery, patient matching algorithms, laboratory work, reference intervals and values, diagnostic algorithms, imaging algorithms, matching with health insurance documentation, matching with various identity documents, quality assurance with diagnostics and procedures, limitations of diagnostics, limitations of procedures, alerts for particular medications and screenings, growth charts, pharmaceutical dosages and contraindications, cohort analysis in research, clinical trials recruitment, and much more.

Any successful approach moving forward needs to carefully consider all of these use cases and whether they require distinct data elements and value sets, alongside the specific cultural and jurisdictional contexts in which they occur. In addition to that, the approach needs to centre some form of interoperability between those specific contexts while simultaneously preventing loss of information.

The current inability of EHRs, and the standards and ontologies which underpin them, to distinguish between these use cases has led to issues for persons marginalized due to gender and/or sex characteristics (MGSC). Over the past decade, there has been a significant rise in interest regarding and visibility of diversification and sex- and gender-related data in EHR systems, beginning with the 2011 United States' Institute of Medicine Report on the Health of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender (LGBT) Individuals.[1]

Since the publication of that report, a number of jurisdictions have separately begun constructing their own recommendations for standards regarding sex- and gender-related data collection, such as:

— Australia Standard for Sex, Gender, Variations of Sex Characteristics and Sexual Orientation Variables 2021[2];

— Canada is the first country to provide census data on transgender and non-binary people in 2022 and Proposed Action Plan to Modernize Gender, Sex and Sexual Orientation Information Practices in Canadian Electronic Health Record Systems[56];

— Nepal introduced "others" gender category in latest census 2021[57];

— New Zealand, Sex and gender identity statistical standards: Consultation 2020[3], Pakistan; and

— the United States Committee on Measuring Sex, Gender Identity, and Sexual Orientation, Committee on National Statistics, Division of Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education, National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine 2022[4].

A patchwork of differing recommendations, if they exist at all, has led to a confusing and contradictory EHR standards landscape, even within single jurisdictions. While there have been calls for changes in many international standards and systems, change has been slow, although one substantial effort has been put together by the Health Level 7®[1] (HL7) Gender Harmony Project (GHP).[55] It is within this context that this document provides an overview of the current state and international approaches to sex- and/or gender-related data as well as challenges and opportunities in the space. This document provides expected benefits for standardization regarding such data.

While sexual orientation is also an important, and often interrelated, entity to sex and gender, through common acronyms such as SOGI (sexual orientation and gender identity), it is substantially different from sex and gender constructs and presents unique challenges and opportunities of its own. Therefore, this document will not consider sexual orientation specifically. See information related to sexual orientation in the Challenges and Opportunities sections.

1) HL7 is the registered trademark of Health Level Seven International. This information is given for the convenience of users of this document and does not constitute an endorsement by ISO of the product named.

© ISO 2023 – All rights reserved

Background

Collection of gender- and/or sex-related data has been routine in health care for much of the 20th century Therefore, it is no surprise that it is collected as demographic information across almost all electronic health record (EHR) systems. However, despite the longevity of this data collection, the underlying constructs are many times poorly understood and not well characterized, leading to systemic inconsistencies. These inconsistencies are most apparent in relationship to populations marginalized due to gender and/or sex characteristics.

Marginalization due to one's gender and/or sex characteristics (MGSC) permeates most, if not all, countries worldwide.

Considering the following MGSC populations, which are the most likely to be affected by systemic changes, can help the purposes of EHR standards development and help appreciate the impact of the current gaps. The table below provides an approximation of the impacted population.

Name	Estimated population size worldwide
Cisgender women and girls (CWG)	Approximately 3,8 billion people[58]
Transgender people, including nonbinary people (TGNB)	Approximately 31 million people[59]
Gender-diverse and gender-nonconforming people (GDGN)	Unknown, highly dependent upon one's analytical lens[60]
Intersex people (I)	Approximately 130 million people[61]

Importantly, these groups are not mutually exclusive—some transgender people are also intersex, for instance. Some cisgender women are intersex; many gender-diverse people are transgender.

Experiences in the health care system, even when present and available, are often worse among MGSC than among cisgender, heterosexual men. Looking at medical standards and ontology systems, which electronic health records were built around, these systems often treat normal differences between MGSC persons and cisgender, heterosexual men as pathologies.

There are cases where transgender persons are forced into a pathological, binary system of male/female, whether that system is called "transsexualism", "gender dysphoria syndrome", "gender identity disorder", "transgenderism", etc. While newer terminology systems, such as the International Classification of Diseases, 11th Edition (ICD-11), have indicated a path for depathologization, the pathologization of trans persons is still deeply embedded in EHR systems. Likewise, Systematized Nomenclature of Medicine (SNOMED CT®[2)]) includes content that is inaccurate or out-of-date. For example, "472981000 |Fetishistic transvestism (disorder)|" is based on a label, not a true disorder. Better, more accurate EHR standards are necessary to better characterize individual- and population-level cisgender women's health, which can be used to direct efforts where most needed.

In the case of GDGN people, it is very difficult to describe their health outcomes, and how they are represented, because they simply are not represented at all. Very rarely, when represented, such gender nonconformity is immediately pathologized, just as it is for transgender people and cisgender women. Better, more comprehensive, and culturally focused EHR standards would help to grasp at the extent of the problems that GDGN people face.

Generally, the systems and EHR standards discussed are often slow to change, and do not often take in diverse inputs and lived experiences across stakeholder groups most affected by changes.

Considering those groups in relation to the political realities in which they reside is also important. A transgender person in one country will have a very different relationship to health care, and therefore to EHR systems, than one in another country. Language and cultural differences also lead to potential for miscommunication and exploitation within EHR systems.

Since many efforts have been undertaken to address the gaps in the past and before any effort is undertaken in the future to enhance sex- and gender-related standards, an in-depth understanding of lived experiences is necessary.

2) SNOMED CT is a trademark of SNOMED International. This information is given for the convenience of users of this document and does not constitute an endorsement by ISO if the product named.

© ISO 2023 – All rights reserved

Health informatics — Sex and gender in electronic health records

1 Scope

The purpose of this document is to:

— describe the current challenges with documenting and sharing sex and gender information in electronic health records.

— identify the current state of international standards and specifications that include sex and gender.

— summarize the findings and identify opportunities to improve clarity and consistency in the use of sex and gender in electronic health records.

2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

3 Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms

3.1 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

— ISO Online browsing platform: available at https://www.iso.org/obp

— IEC Electropedia: available at https://www.electropedia.org/

3.1.1
sex
biological category based on reproductive, anatomical and genetic characteristics

Note 1 to entry: Includes the broad categories of male, female and intersex

Note 2 to entry: Typically, within health care settings the only officially recognized and assigned at birth categories are female and male categories, which becomes part of someone's official government record and societally assumed gender.

3.1.2
gender
composite of socially constructed roles, behaviours, activities and/or attributes that a given society considers appropriate for members of a given sex

3.1.3
cisgender women and girls
CWG
women who were assigned female at birth and/or were reared or raised as female, in relationship to their culture

[SOURCE: Reference 58]

© ISO 2023 – All rights reserved