IEC/IEEE TR 63572

Edition 1.0 2026-03

TECHNICAL REPORT

Evaluation of absorbed power density related to human exposure to radio frequency fields from wireless communication devices operating between 6 GHz and 300 GHz

ICS 17.220.20

ISBN 978-2-8327-1099-9

IEC/IEEE TR 63572:2026-03(en)

THIS PUBLICATION IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED
Copyright © 2026 IEC, Geneva, Switzerland
Copyright © 2026 IEEE

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing being secured. Requests for permission to reproduce should be addressed to either IEC at the address below or IEC's member National Committee in the country of the requester or from IEEE.

IEC Secretariat
3, rue de Varembé
CH-1211 Geneva 20
Switzerland
Tel.: +41 22 919 02 11
info@iec.ch
www.iec.ch

Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.
3 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10016-5997
United States of America
stds.ipr@ieee.org
www.ieee.org

About the IEC
The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is the leading global organization that prepares and publishes International Standards for all electrical, electronic and related technologies.

About the IEEE
IEEE is the world's largest professional association dedicated to advancing technological innovation and excellence for the benefit of humanity. IEEE and its members inspire a global community through its highly cited publications, conferences, technology standards, and professional and educational activities.

About IEC/IEEE publications
The technical content of IEC/IEEE publications is kept under constant review by the IEC and IEEE. Please make sure that you have the latest edition, a corrigendum or an amendment might have been published.

IEC publications search - webstore.iec.ch/advsearchform
The advanced search enables to find IEC publications by a variety of criteria (reference number, text, technical committee, …). It also gives information on projects, replaced and withdrawn publications.

IEC Just Published - webstore.iec.ch/justpublished
Stay up to date on all new IEC publications. Just Published details all new publications released. Available online and once a month by email.

IEC Customer Service Centre - webstore.iec.ch/csc
If you wish to give us your feedback on this publication or need further assistance, please contact the Customer Service Centre: sales@iec.ch.

IEC Products & Services Portal - products.iec.ch
Discover our powerful search engine and read freely all the publications previews, graphical symbols and the glossary. With a subscription you will always have access to up to date content tailored to your needs.

Electropedia - www.electropedia.org
The world's leading online dictionary on electrotechnology, containing more than 22 500 terminological entries in English and French, with equivalent terms in 25 additional languages. Also known as the International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV) online.

Warning! Make sure that you obtained this publication from an authorized distributor.

CONTENTS

FOREWORD 7
INTRODUCTION 9
1 Scope 10
2 Normative references 10
3 Terms and definitions 10
4 Symbols and abbreviated terms 14
4.1 Physical quantities 14
4.2 Constants 14
4.3 Abbreviated terms 14
5 Absorbed power density (epithelial power density) 16
5.1 General 16
5.2 Specification of the spatial-average absorbed power density 16
5.3 Alternative definitions 18
6 Fundamentals of evaluating APD 19
6.1 General 19
6.2 Relevant characteristics of the human body 19
6.3 Evaluation of the APD 20
7 Measurement and computational phantoms and body models 20
7.1 Measurement phantom 20
7.2 Computational phantom models 22
7.3 Anatomical models of the human body 22
8 Measurement methods 22
8.1 General 22
8.2 Measurement evaluation procedures 23
8.3 DUT position and testing configurations 23
8.4 System check and validation 23
8.4.1 System check 23
8.4.2 System validation 23
9 Computational methods 23
9.1 General 23
9.2 Model validation 24
9.3 Evaluation of the averaged APD 25
9.3.1 Planar surfaces 25
9.3.2 Non-planar surfaces 26
10 Uncertainty evaluation 26
10.1 Measurement uncertainty 26
10.1.1 General 26
10.1.2 Calibration uncertainty 27
10.1.3 Probe/scanning uncertainty 28
10.1.4 Phantom uncertainty 28
10.1.5 Postprocessing uncertainty 28
10.1.6 DUT uncertainty 29
10.2 Computational uncertainty 29
10.2.1 General 29
10.2.2 Uncertainty contributions due to the computational parameters 30

10.2.3 Uncertainty contribution of the computational representation of the DUT model 31
10.2.4 Uncertainty contribution of the computational representation of the phantom model 32
10.2.5 Uncertainty of the maximum exposure evaluation 33
10.2.6 Uncertainty budget 33
10.3 Combined measurement and computational uncertainty 34
11 Reporting 35
11.1 General 35
11.2 Items to be recorded in measurement exposure evaluation reports 35
11.3 Items recorded in computational exposure evaluation reports 36
Annex A (informative) Human skin from EMF exposure perspective 37
A.1 Structure of the human skin from the perspective of EMF exposure 37
A.2 Dielectric properties of the skin tissues 37
A.3 Tissue-equivalent and reflectivity-based human models 37
Annex B (informative) Exposure in terms of the absorbed power density 40
B.1 Previous work 40
B.1.1 Incident and transmitted power density in one-dimensional skin models 40
B.1.2 Three-dimensional modelling of the absorbed power density 40
B.1.3 Heating factor 41
B.1.4 Measurement studies 42
B.2 Relationship with incident power density 42
Annex C (informative) Review of the metrics relevant to the absorbed power density 43
C.1 General 43
C.2 Physical metrics 43
C.2.1 Absorbed power 43
C.2.2 Transmitted power 44
C.2.3 Reflected power 44
C.2.4 Temperature 47
C.3 Averaging metrics 48
C.3.1 Evaluation surface 48
C.3.2 Spatial averaging 48
C.3.3 Temporal averaging 50
Annex D (informative) Absorbed power density for modulated signals 51
Annex E (informative) APD assessment using conventional SAR measurement systems 54
Annex F (informative) APD assessment using E-field probes and band-limited phantoms 55
F.1 General 55
F.2 Phantom design 55
F.3 Probe design 58
F.4 Probe calibration 59
F.5 Induced E-field scanning and APD reconstruction 60
F.6 Uncertainty 60
F.7 Validation 62
Annex G (informative) APD evaluation based on free-space E-field measurement and reflectivity-based phantom 64
G.1 General 64
G.2 Reflectivity-based phantoms with enhanced transmission 65

G.3 Reconstruction of APD from the measured E-field 66
G.4 Measurement system 68
G.5 Validation using reference antennas 68
G.6 Uncertainty 72
Annex H (informative) APD evaluation from infrared-based temperature measurements using reflectivity-based phantoms 74
H.1 General 74
H.2 Infrared imaging 75
H.3 Reflectivity-based phantoms optimised for infrared measurements 75
H.4 Reconstruction of APD from thermal measurements 76
H.5 Measurement system 79
H.6 Application examples 80
H.7 Validation using reference antennas 81
H.8 Uncertainty 84
Annex I (informative) APD assessment by backward transformation from the measured E-field behind the low-loss phantom 86
I.1 General 86
I.2 Low-loss phantom 86
I.3 Reconstruction of the APD by backward transformation 86
Annex J (informative) APD assessment using hybrid electromagnetic and thermal measurements 88
Annex K (informative) Probe technologies 89
K.1 General 89
K.2 Temperature-based probes 89
K.3 Radio-frequency electromagnetic probes 89
Annex L (informative) APD assessment using measurements of incident electric field with source and phantom modelling 90
L.1 Methodology 90
L.2 Validation of OTAA for incident power density measurements 91
L.3 Validation of the OTAA for APD measurements 93
L.4 Practical use case application 94
L.5 Measurement of devices using digitally modulated signals 98
Annex M (informative) Validation of the measurement methods 99
M.1 General 99
M.2 Validation sources and target values 99
M.2.1 Cavity-fed dipole arrays 99
M.2.2 Pyramidal horns with slot arrays 100
Annex N (informative) Computational algorithms for the calculation of the APD 101
N.1 FDTD 101
N.2 FEM 101
Annex O (informative) Code verification 102
Bibliography 103

Figure 1 – Minimum size of the planar APD evaluation surface 22
Figure A.1 – Reflection and transmission of an electromagnetic wave from the human body and phantoms above 6 GHz 38
Figure C.1 – Incident power density as a function of separation distance d and an antenna input power of 23 dBm 45

Figure C.2 – Absorbed power density as a function of separation distance d and an antenna input power of 23 dBm 46
Figure C.3 – Distribution of the psAPD at a separation distance d = 10 mm 46
Figure C.4 – Power transmission coefficients as a function of antenna to skin separation distance d 47
Figure D.1 – Measurement results in time domain and converted to frequency domain 51
Figure D.2 – Sine wave associated with a waveform CW vs modulated signal 52
Figure D.3 – Waveform power density integrated over the bandwidth 52
Figure D.4 – Signal-to-noise ratio for same waveform with different measurement settings: 40 dB (top), 20 dB (centre), and 10 dB (bottom) 53
Figure F.1 – Cross-section of the phantom for assessing APD in the skin and skin model 56
Figure F.2 – Comparison of the APD in the phantom and the layered skin surface using plane-waves versus normalized x component of wave vector (k_x/k_0 where k_0 is the free space wave vector) 57
Figure F.3 – psAPD in dB (0 dB [1,0 times] corresponding to 1 W/m^2) averaged over 1 cm^2 of the skin surface as well as in the phantom as a function of the separation between the dipole antenna and the phantom surface 58
Figure F.4 – Measurement validation of the APD probe axial receiving pattern 59
Figure F.5 – Calibration setup using an open-ended waveguide radiating into the TSL 59
Figure F.6 – Decay of the APD probe signal inside the calibration setup matched to the computational and analytical target by the probe sensitivity factor; the sensitivity limit (noise floor) of the APD probe is also shown 60
Figure F.7 – Cosine similarity of the reflection coefficient of a standard gain horn computed and measured at varied distances in front of the APD phantom 63
Figure F.8 – Comparison of the measured and computationally determined APD of a standard gain horn at 30 GHz in touch against the APD phantom 63
Figure G.1 – Schematic representation of the APD assessment method based on free-space E-field vector measurement, using a reflectivity-based phantom 64
Figure G.2 – Reflectivity-based phantom characteristics vs incidence angle at 60 GHz for TM and TE polarizations 65
Figure G.3 – Schematic representation of the measurement setup 68
Figure G.4 – Reference antennas (see IEC/IEEE 63195-1) used for validation 69
Figure G.5 – Dielectric loading of DUT by reflectivity-based phantom and skin 69
Figure G.6 – APD distribution for the reference slotted horn antenna at 60 GHz 70
Figure G.7 – APD as a function of the evaluation distance d for the horn antenna 71
Figure H.1 – Schematic representation of the APD assessment method based on IR-based heat dynamics measurement of a phantom of thickness w at distance d 75
Figure H.2 – The power reflection coefficient of the phantom for TM and TE polarizations at 60 GHz 76
Figure H.3 – Schematic representation of the measurement setup 80
Figure H.4 – Example of APD spatial distributions at z = 0 plane retrieved from IR measurements for a 2 × 2 patch antenna array 81
Figure H.5 – Example of spatial distributions of APD in the z = 0 mm plane retrieved from IR measurements for a conical horn antenna 81
Figure H.6 – APD distribution for the horn antenna at 60 GHz; the accepted power is 20 mW 83
Figure H.7 – APD as a function of d for the reference horn antenna: The results are normalized to the antenna input power of 10 mW 84

Figure L.1 – Flowchart of the procedure for measurements of the absorbed power density by means of an OTA augmented approach 91
Figure L.2 – OTA spherical scanning measurement setups used in [85] 92
Figure L.3 – Comparison of the psAPD for the cavity-fed dipole array (IEC/IEEE 63195-1) at 30 GHz obtained by means of the OTAA approach compared with full-wave computations 94
Figure L.4 – Comparison of the psAPD for the slotted-horn array (IEC/IEEE 63195-1) at 30 GHz obtained by means of the OTAA approach compared with full-wave computations 94
Figure L.5 – Model of a portable millimetre-wave device and example of far-field directivity 95
Figure L.6 – Comparison of the psAPD for a mmW portable device obtained by means of the OTAA approach compared to full-wave computations 96
Figure L.7 – Comparison of the psAPD for a mmW portable device obtained by means of the OTAA approach compared to full-wave computations 97
Figure L.8 – Comparison of the spatial averaged absorbed power density distribution (1 cm^2) on the phantom surface for the portable device at 1,25 mm distance 97

Table 1 – An example of budget of the uncertainty contributions of measurement evaluations 27
Table 2 – Budget of the uncertainty contributions of the computational algorithm for the validation setup or testing setup 30
Table 3 – Budget of the uncertainty of the developed model of the setup 33
Table 4 – Computational uncertainty budget 34
Table 5 – Example of uncertainty budget of the exposure assessment combining measurements and computational methods 35
Table D.1 – 5G bands 52
Table F.1 – Thickness and dielectric properties (30 GHz) of the skin tissue layer model used to estimate APD in the skin 56
Table F.2 – Properties of the optimized dosimetric phantom emulating the APD in the human skin from 24 GHz to 33 GHz 57
Table F.3 – Changes in the mean value of the ratio of APD in the phantom and the layered skin model for all angles of incidence 58
Table F.4 – APD bandlimited phantom uncertainty budget 61
Table G.1 – $\Delta_j(i)$ [%] for free space E-field method 72
Table G.2 – APD uncertainty budget for free-space E-field method 73
Table H.1 – $\Delta_j(i)$ [%] for infrared-based temperature method 84
Table H.2 – APD uncertainty budget for infrared-based temperature method 85
Table L.1 – psIPD values for the 30 GHz HSA as defined in IEC/IEEE 63195-1 92
Table L.2 – psIPD values for the 60 GHz HSA as defined in IEC/IEEE 63195-1 93
Table L.3 – psIPD values for the 30 GHz CDA as defined in IEC/IEEE 63195-1 93
Table L.4 – psIPD values for the 60 GHz CDA as defined in IEC/IEEE 63195-1 93
Table L.5 – Dielectric phantom parameters for the evaluation of the absorbed power density with the OTA augmented approach 93
Table L.6 – Comparison psIPD values obtained for the portable device mock-up based on full-wave computations and OTA augmented approach 95

Table M.1 – Target values for the cavity-fed dipole array at 10 GHz, 30 GHz, 60 GHz, and 90 GHz computed at a distance of 2 mm in front of the reference skin model normalized to a TRP of 0 dBm 99

Table M.2 – Target values for the pyramidal horns with slot arrays at 10 GHz, 30 GHz, 60 GHz and 90 GHz computed at a distance of 2 mm in front of the reference skin model normalized to a TRP of 0 dBm 100

Evaluation of absorbed power density related to human exposure to radio frequency fields from wireless communication devices operating between 6 GHz and 300 GHz

FOREWORD

1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as "IEC document(s)"). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation.

   IEEE Standards documents are developed within IEEE Societies and subcommittees of IEEE Standards Association (IEEE SA) Board of Governors. IEEE develops its standards through an accredited consensus development process, which brings together volunteers representing varied viewpoints and interests to achieve the final product. IEEE standards are documents developed by volunteers with scientific, academic, and industry-based expertise in technical working groups. Volunteers are not necessarily members of IEEE or IEEE SA and participate without compensation from IEEE. While IEEE administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the consensus development process, IEEE does not independently evaluate, test, or verify the accuracy of any of the information or the soundness of any judgments contained in its standards. *IEEE documents are made available for use subject to important notices and legal disclaimers (see* https://standards.ieee.org/ipr/disclaimers.html *for more information).*

   IEC collaborates closely with IEEE in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations. This Dual Logo International Standard was jointly developed by the IEC and IEEE under the terms of that agreement.

2) The formal decisions of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees. The formal decisions of IEEE on technical matters, once consensus within IEEE Societies and Standards Coordinating Committees has been reached, is determined by a balanced ballot of materially interested parties who indicate interest in reviewing the proposed standard. Final approval of the IEEE standards document is given by the IEEE Standards Association (IEEE SA) Standards Board.

3) IEC/IEEE Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees/IEEE Societies in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC/IEEE Publications is accurate, IEC or IEEE cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.

4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications (including IEC/IEEE Publications) transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC/IEEE Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.

5) IEC and IEEE do not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC and IEEE are not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.

6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.

7) No liability shall attach to IEC or IEEE or their directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of technical committees and IEC National Committees, or volunteers of IEEE Societies and the Standards Coordinating Committees of the IEEE Standards Association (IEEE SA) Standards Board, for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC/IEEE Publication or any other IEC or IEEE Publications.

8) Attention is drawn to the normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.

9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that implementation of this IEC/IEEE Publication may require use of material covered by patent rights. By publication of this standard, no position is taken with respect to the existence or validity of any patent rights in connection therewith. IEC or IEEE shall not be held responsible for identifying Essential Patent Claims for which a license may be required, for conducting inquiries into the legal validity or scope of Patent Claims or determining whether any licensing terms or conditions provided in connection with submission of a Letter of Assurance, if any, or in any licensing agreements are reasonable or non-discriminatory. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.

IEC/IEEE TR 63572 was prepared by IEC technical committee 106: Methods for the assessment of electric, magnetic and electromagnetic fields associated with human exposure, in cooperation with the International Committee on Electromagnetic Safety of the IEEE Standards Association, under the IEC/IEEE Dual Logo Agreement between IEC and IEEE. It is a Technical Report.

This document is published as an IEC/IEEE Dual Logo standard.

The text of this Technical Report is based on the following IEC documents:

Draft	Report on voting
106/705/DTR	106/720/RVDTR

Full information on the voting for its approval can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

The language used for the development of this Technical Report is English.

This document was drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, available at www.iec.ch/members_experts/refdocs. The main document types developed by IEC are described in greater detail at www.iec.ch/publications/.

The IEC Technical Committee and IEEE Technical Committee have decided that the contents of this document will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under webstore.iec.ch in the data related to the specific document. At this date, the document will be

– reconfirmed,
– withdrawn, or
– revised.

INTRODUCTION

This document describes computational and measurement methods for the evaluation of absorbed (epithelial) power density related to human exposures due to electromagnetic field (EMF) transmitting devices operating in close proximity to the user at frequencies between 6 GHz and 300 GHz. The types of devices include but are not limited to mobile telephones, tablets, laptops, etc.

For portable devices, the specific absorption rate (SAR) assessment standard for wireless devices used in close proximity to the user IEC/IEEE 62209-1528:2020 [1] is specified up to 10 GHz. The IEC/IEEE 63195-1 and IEC/IEEE 63195-2 standards on the assessment of the incident power density (IPD) for wireless devices used in close proximity to the user are valid from 6 GHz to 300 GHz. For exposure to EMF emitted from base stations, IEC 62232:2022 [2] specifies methods to assess the compliance boundaries based on reference levels and basic restrictions for a frequency range from 110 MHz to 300 GHz.

The absorbed power density (APD) is considered as the relevant local basic restriction and exposure metric above 6 GHz in the ICNIRP 2020 guidelines [3] and in the Health Canada Notice [4]. Similarly, IEEE Std C95.1™-2019 [5] requires equivalent assessment of epithelial power density above 6 GHz. IEC PAS 63446 [6] describes methods to convert SAR results into APD in frequency range of 6 GHz to 10 GHz.

IEC TC 106 and IEEE ICES TC 34 (IEC/IEEE) have previously noted the necessity to extend compliance assessment standards for portable devices to cover the basic restrictions on APD. To ensure timely publication of the available knowledge on the computational and measurement technologies on APD assessment, IEC TC 106 and IEEE ICES TC 34 decided on a two-step strategy to ensure that the fundamental approaches are available.

In 2023 and 2024, the focus was on the development of a Technical Report (this document), specifying the state of the art of computational and measurement techniques and test approaches for evaluating portable devices based on absorbed power density measurements from 6 GHz to 300 GHz.

Upon drafting this document, a Technical Report, a new work item proposal has been initiated to develop a Dual Logo International Standard (IS) jointly among IEEE and IEC on the computational and measurement procedures based on leveraging the content of this document.

This document is an informative document that serves as the starting point for the International Standards on computational and measurement assessment procedures of the APD. The methodologies and approaches described in this document can be useful for the assessment of APD in the early phase of computational and measurement technology development. It also contains recommendations for future standardization work and highlights areas that require additional investigation or consideration.

1 Scope

This document describes the computation and measurement techniques and test approaches for evaluating the local peak absorbed power density (pAPD) and peak spatial average absorbed (epithelial) power density (psAPD) induced in a human body from a wireless device transmitting in close proximity to the user at frequencies between 6 GHz and 300 GHz.

This document provides information on the testing of portable devices transmitting at distances close to the human body, such as mobile phones, tablets, wearable devices, etc. The information in this document is also relevant to exposure in the close proximity of base stations.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

IEC/IEEE 63195-1, *Assessment of power density of human exposure to radio frequency fields from wireless devices in close proximity to the head and body (frequency range of 6 GHz to 300 GHz) - Part 1: Measurement procedure*

IEC/IEEE 63195-2*, Assessment of power density of human exposure to radio frequency fields from wireless devices in close proximity to the head and body (frequency range of 6 GHz to 300 GHz) - Part 2: Computational procedure*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in IEC/IEEE 63195-1, IEC/IEEE 63195-2, and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

– IEC Electropedia: available at https://www.electropedia.org/

– ISO Online browsing platform: available at https://www.iso.org/obp

– IEEE Dictionary Online: available at http://dictionary.ieee.org

3.1
incident power density
IPD
function of the complex Poynting vector S at the location r

Note 1 to entry: The formula to compute IPD from S depends on the applicable exposure guidelines or national regulations.

Note 2 to entry: IEC/IEEE 63195-1:2022 and IEC/IEEE 63195-2:2022 refer to the incident power density and all derived quantities as power density.

3.2
absorbed power density
APD
epithelial power density
function of the Poynting vector ($\mathrm{Re}\{S\}$) that is transmitted into a phantom or a human body and integrated over a surface to compute the sAPD (3.6)

Note 1 to entry: See Clause 5 for details and formulae for the APD.

3.3
body model
phantom
mock-up that represents the exposed human body or a part of it in a measurement set-up or in a simulation

3.4
spatial-average incident power density
sIPD
IPD (3.1) averaged over an averaging area

Note 1 to entry: sIPD is a function of the location. It is determined on the evaluation surface (3.13).

Note 2 to entry: Example averaging area sizes specified in exposure guidelines are 1 cm^2 and/or 4 cm^2.

Note 3 to entry: IEC/IEEE 63195-1:2022 and IEC/IEEE 63195-2:2022 refer to the spatial-average incident power density as spatial-average power density.

3.5
peak absorbed power density
pAPD
local maximum of the APD (3.2)

3.6
spatial-average absorbed power density
sAPD
APD (3.2) averaged over an averaging area (3.12)

Note 1 to entry: sAPD is a function of the location. It is determined on the evaluation surface (3.13).

Note 2 to entry: Example averaging area sizes specified in exposure guidelines are 1 cm^2 and/or 4 cm^2.

3.7
peak spatial-average incident power density
psIPD
global maximum value of the sIPD (3.4) on the evaluation surface (3.13)

Note 1 to entry: Other local maxima (i.e. secondary peak spatial-average power density values) can exist.

Note 2 to entry: IEC/IEEE 63195-1:2022 and IEC/IEEE 63195-2:2022 refer to the spatial-average incident power density as spatial-average power density.

3.8
peak spatial-average absorbed power density
psAPD
global maximum value of the sAPD (3.6) on the evaluation surface (3.13)

Note 1 to entry: Other local maxima (i.e. secondary peak spatial-average absorbed power density values) can exist.

3.9
maximized peak spatial-average incident power density
mpsIPD
maximum psIPD (3.7) over the entire steering range of the antenna beam

Note 1 to entry: IEC/IEEE 63195-1:2022 and IEC/IEEE 63195-2:2022 refer to the maximized spatial-average incident power density as maximized spatial-average power density.

3.10
maximized peak spatial-average absorbed power density
mpsAPD
maximum psAPD (3.8) over the entire steering range of the antenna beam

3.11
Poynting vector
S
vector product of the electric field strength E and the magnetic field strength H of the electromagnetic field at a given point

Note 1 to entry: The flux of the Poynting vector through a closed surface is equal to the electromagnetic power passing through this surface.

Note 2 to entry: For a sinusoidal wave of angular frequency ω, the complex Poynting vector is expressed by Formula (1)

$$S = \frac{1}{2} E \times H^* \tag{1}$$

where E and H are complex vectors, and the asterisk denotes the complex conjugate.

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-121:2019 [7], 121-11-66, modified – Note 2 to entry has been modified.]

3.12
averaging area
A_{av}
contiguous region of the evaluation surface used for averaging the APD (3.2)

Note 1 to entry: On a planar evaluation surface (3.13), the sAPD is computed as the APD (3.2) integrated over a square area of size A_{av} divided by A_{av}. On a non-planar evaluation surface, the averaging area indicates the dimensions of the projection of the integration area of the APD onto a planar surface.

3.13
evaluation surface
surface where the local absorbed power density (sAPD, 3.2) is assessed, i.e. the outer surface of the body model, which reproduces the reflection of human skin

Note 1 to entry: In practice, the APD evaluation surface can be different from a measurement surface (e.g. field probe position).

3.14
near-field
region encompassed by the reactive near-field and the radiative near-field

Note 1 to entry: See also 3.15 and 3.16 for definitions of reactive near-field and radiative near-field, respectively.

3.15
radiative near-field
region of space between the reactive near-field and the far-field, wherein the predominant components of the electromagnetic field are those that represent the propagation of energy, and wherein the angular field distribution is dependent upon the distance from the antenna

Note 1 to entry: In the radiative near-field, the out-of-phase (i.e. evanescent) component of the electromagnetic field decays, and the in-phase (i.e. propagating) component of the electromagnetic field emerges.

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-712:1992 [8], 712-02-04, modified – In the term, "radiating" has been replaced by "radiative". In the definition, "region" has been deleted after "near-field" and "far-field". The note has been replaced by a new note to entry.]

3.16
reactive near-field
region of space immediately surrounding an antenna, where the predominant components of the electromagnetic field are those which represent the exchange of reactive energy between the antenna and the surrounding medium

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-712:1992 [8], 712-02-01, modified – The admitted and deprecated terms have been omitted.]

3.17
far-field
region of the electromagnetic field of an antenna wherein the predominant components of the field are those which represent a propagation of energy and wherein the angular field distribution is essentially independent of the distance from the geometrical centre of the antenna, and the ratio of predominant electric field and magnetic field components is constant and is equal to the wave impedance of 377 Ω

Note 1 to entry: For the purposes of this document, the boundary of the near-field and far-field is as specified in 7.4.2.1 of IEC/IEEE 63195-1:2022.

[SOURCE: IEC 60050-712:1992 [8], 712-02-02, modified – The word “region” has been omitted from the term. In the definition, the distance to the geometrical centre of the antenna has been clarified, and the ratio of the electric and magnetic components has been added. The notes have been replaced by a new note to entry.]

3.18
pseudo-Brewster angle
angle at which the reflection coefficient of an incident TM-polarized wave on a lossy dielectric half space is minimized

Note 1 to entry: For lossless media, this angle corresponds to the Brewster angle, at which the reflection coefficient of an incident TM-polarized wave is zero.

3.19
backward propagation
inversion of the propagation direction of the electromagnetic waves in a medium with the purpose of calculating the electromagnetic field at a distance between the source and the measurement location

3.20
basic restriction
human exposure limits for compliance with time-varying electric, magnetic, and electromagnetic fields measured inside the body that are based on established adverse health effects

Note 1 to entry: Within the scope of this document, the physical quantity used as a basic restriction is the absorbed power density (APD).