IEC TS 62607-9-1

Edition 1.0 2021-10

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION

colour inside

Nanomanufacturing – Key control characteristics – Part 9-1: Traceable spatially resolved nano-scale stray magnetic field measurements – Magnetic force microscopy

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

ICS 07.120

ISBN 978-2-8322-1032-9

Warning! Make sure that you obtained this publication from an authorized distributor.

® Registered trademark of the International Electrotechnical Commission

CONTENTS

FOREWORD 5
INTRODUCTION 7
1 Scope 9
2 Normative references 9
3 Terms and definitions 9
3.1 General terms 9
3.2 General terms related to magnetic stray field characterization 10
3.3 Terms related to the measurement method described in this document 11
3.4 Key control characteristics measured according to this document 16
3.5 Symbols and abbreviated terms 17
4 General 18
4.1 Measurement principle, general 18
4.2 Application to scanning systems, discretization 20
4.3 Preparation of the measurement setup 20
4.4 Measurement principle, MFM 20
4.4.1 General 20
4.4.2 Field detection process 21
4.4.3 Lever correction function F^{LCF} 21
4.4.4 Effective magnetic charge density of the tip 23
4.4.5 Characteristics of the MFM F^{ICF} 23
4.4.6 Concept of calibration by deconvolution 24
4.4.7 Regularized deconvolution approach 25
4.5 MFM setup key control characteristics 26
4.5.1 General 26
4.5.2 Cantilever spring constant C 27
4.5.3 Cantilever resonance quality factor Q 28
4.5.4 Sensitivity of the detection and analysis electronics 28
4.5.5 Measurement height 29
4.5.6 Scan size, pixel resolution 29
4.5.7 Canting angle of the cantilever in the setup 29
4.5.8 Magnetization orientation of the tip 29
4.5.9 Regularized deconvolution 30
4.6 Ambient conditions during measurement 30
4.7 Reference samples 30
4.7.1 General 30
4.7.2 "Well-known" and calculable reference sample 30
4.7.3 Band domain patterns as self-referencing calibration samples 30
4.7.4 Detailed stray field calculation procedure for perpendicularly magnetized band domain reference samples 31
5 Measurement procedure for calibrated magnetic field measurements 34
5.1 Calibrated stray field measurement of a sample under test 34
5.2 Detailed description of the measurement and calibration procedure 35
5.3 Measurement protocol 35
5.4 Measurement reliability 37
5.4.1 Artefacts in MFM measurements 37
5.4.2 Artefacts resulting from strong stray field samples 37

5.4.3 Artefacts when measuring samples with low coercivity 38
5.4.4 Distortion of the domain structure 38
5.4.5 Contingency strategy 39
5.4.6 Strategies to improve the quality of the measurements 39
5.5 Uncertainty evaluation 39
5.5.1 General 39
5.5.2 Reference sample 39
5.5.3 ICF determination 40
5.5.4 Calibrated field measurement 40
6 Data analysis / interpretation of results 41
6.1 Software for data analysis 41
7 Results to be reported 43
7.1 General 43
7.2 Product / sample identification 43
7.3 Test conditions 43
7.4 Measurement set-up specific information 43
7.5 Test results 44
8 Validity assessment 44
8.1 General aspects 44
8.2 Requirements 45
8.3 Example 45
8.3.1 Determination of the Instrument Calibration Function F^{ICF} 45
8.3.2 Calibrated measurement 47
Annex A (informative) Algorithm 49
A.1 Mathematical basics 49
A.1.1 Continuous Fourier transform versus discrete Fourier Transform 49
A.1.2 Partial (two-dimensional) Fourier space 49
A.1.3 Cross correlation theorem 49
A.2 Magnetic fields in partial Fourier space 50
A.2.1 Differentiation in partial Fourier space 50
A.2.2 Magnetic fields in partial Fourier space 50
A.3 Signal generation in magnetic force microscopy 50
A.3.1 General 50
A.3.2 MFM phase shift signal 51
A.3.3 L-curve criterion for pseudo-Wiener filter-based deconvolution process 52
Annex B (informative) Uncertainty evaluation 54
B.1 Definition for instrument calibration 54
B.2 Definition for calibrated field measurement 54
B.3 A type uncertainty evaluation 55
B.4 B type uncertainty evaluation 55
B.4.1 General 55
B.4.2 Propagation of uncertainty from the real to the Fourier domain 55
B.4.3 Propagation of uncertainty from the Fourier to the real space domain 56
B.4.4 Uncertainty propagation based on the Wiener filter 57
B.4.5 Uncertainty evaluation for the tip calibration 59
B.4.6 Uncertainty evaluation for the stray field evaluation 60
B.5 Monte Carlo technique 61
Bibliography 62

Figure 1 – Spatial resolution of magnetic stray field characterization techniques and their possible maximum scan area 8
Figure 2 – Field measurement with finite-size sensors 19
Figure 3 – Schematic MFM setup 20
Figure 4 – Lever correction function (F^{LCF}) in Fourier space 22
Figure 5 – Lever correction function (F^{LCF}) and distance losses 23
Figure 6 – Instrument calibration function (F^{ICF}) in real and Fourier space. Line plots of the partial Fourier space (absolute value, left) and real space (right). 24
Figure 7 – Typical resonance curve of a cantilever 28
Figure 8 – Typical amplitude–distance plot of a cantilever with the linear transition region indicated 29
Figure 9 – Band domain reference sample 31
Figure 10 – Artefacts that occur if the tip magnetization is switched by the stray field of the sample 38
Figure 11 – Artefacts if the sample domain orientation is switched by a strong tip stray field 38
Figure 12 – Typical distortion of an MFM image: different domain widths 39
Figure 13 – Normalized Fourier amplitudes of the measured reference sample signal $\Delta\varphi^{\mathrm{ref}}$ and the reference sample magnetic field 46
Figure 14 – Typical transfer functions in Fourier and real space for different values of the regularization parameter α 47
Figure 15 – Comparison of the reference sample signal $\Delta\varphi^{\mathrm{ref}}$ and the SUT signal $\Delta\varphi^{\mathrm{SUT}}$ 47
Figure A.1 – Plot of the 2-norm of the residual as a function of the regularization parameter 53
Figure A.2 – Example of an L-curve 53
Figure A.3 – Illustration of the curvature of the L-curve as a function of the regularization parameter 53

Table 1 – MFM setup key control characteristics 27
Table 2 – Ambient conditions key control characteristics 30
Table 3 – Stray field estimation key control characteristics 32
Table 4 – Stray field estimation protocol 33
Table 5 – Measurement protocol 36
Table 6 – Uncertainty evaluation key control characteristics 41
Table 7 – Software implementation of stray field calculation of band domain samples 42
Table 8 – Software-based realization of calibrated measurement 42

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

_____

NANOMANUFACTURING – KEY CONTROL CHARACTERISTICS –

Part 9-1: Traceable spatially resolved nano-scale stray magnetic field measurements – Magnetic force microscopy

FOREWORD

1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as "IEC Publication(s)"). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation. IEC collaborates closely with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.
2) The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.
3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.
5) IEC itself does not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC is not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.
6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.
7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.
8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this IEC Publication may be the subject of patent rights. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

IEC TS 62607-9-1 has been prepared by IEC technical committee 113: Nanotechnology for electrotechnical products and systems. It is a Technical Specification.

The text of this Technical Specification is based on the following documents:

Draft	Report on voting
113/584/DTS	113/606/RVDTS

Full information on the voting for its approval can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

The language used for the development of this Technical Specification is English.

This document was drafted in accordance with ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, and developed in accordance with ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1 and ISO/IEC Directives, IEC Supplement, available at www.iec.ch/members_experts/refdocs. The main document types developed by IEC are described in greater detail at www.iec.ch/standardsdev/publications.

A list of all parts of the IEC TS 62607 series, published under the general title *Nanomanufacturing – Key control characteristics*, can be found on the IEC website.

The committee has decided that the contents of this document will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under webstore.iec.ch in the data related to the specific document. At this date, the document will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn,
- replaced by a revised edition, or
- amended.

IMPORTANT – The "colour inside" logo on the cover page of this document indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.

INTRODUCTION

Measurements of magnetic fields that are homogeneous over macroscopic volumes can be made traceable to the SI standards, and traceable calibration chains from the national metrology institutes to the end users are well-established.

However, many important industrial applications such as magneto-resistive position, angle, or motion control rely on precision sensing of spatially varying magnetic fields. Such spatially varying magnetic fields can, for example, be generated by a magnetic bit pattern of a magnetic encoder scale. Today, magnetic encoder bit patterns have typically a lateral periodicity above 100 µm. Based on stray field interpolation, such encoders are applied, for example, for precision positioning systems with sub-micrometre resolution. However, such precision positioning requires reliable local field measurements which are not yet underpinned by any suitable standards.

Today, local magnetic stray field measurements with resolutions from above 50 µm down to below 500 nm can be realized by scanning magnetic field detection (SMF) methods with different field sensors such as Hall sensors, magneto-resistive (MR) sensors and magnetically coated tips on an oscillating cantilever (magnetic force microscopy (MFM)), or with imaging techniques like Kerr and magneto-optical indicator film (MOIF) microscopy. Achievable spatial resolution and typical scanning area are compared in Figure 1.

MFM provides a significantly higher resolution than other SMF techniques and MOIF (see Figure 1) and can therefore be considered as the standard tool for nano-scale investigations of the local magnetic properties of magnetic nanostructures, thin films and devices [1][1]. However, despite its wide use, MFM measurements per se only deliver purely qualitative stray field images that cannot be applied for quantitative data analysis. This results from the fact that the measured signal strongly depends on the properties of the magnetic tip, the mechanical properties of the cantilever and the sensitivity of the detection device. Hence a calibration that includes the characterization of the magnetic tip and the microscope is needed if the MFM method is to be used to provide values of key control characteristics (KCCs) which are ultimately traceable to national calibration standards.

This document aims to provide industry end users, instrument manufactures and calibration laboratories with a description of traceable calibration procedures based on reference materials with well-defined local stray field distributions. This document includes the description of suitable reference samples, the evaluation of MFM key parameters required for the method, and the determination of the instrument calibration function (ICF). Due to the finite dimension of the tip, a spatial broadening of the MFM signal is unavoidable. Mathematically this broadening can be described by the convolution of the ICF and the real magnetic field structure of the sample to be measured. Vice versa, a quantitative analysis of the measured data is achieved by a deconvolution of the MFM measurement data using the ICF. The description of this process is the key part of this document.

[1] Numbers in square brackets refer to the Bibliography.




Figure 1 – Spatial resolution of magnetic stray field characterization techniques and their possible maximum scan area

The MFM technique as described in this document has a resolution down to about 10 nm to 20 nm (depending on the signal-to-noise ratio of the instrument), which is at least one order of magnitude superior to other common characterization techniques for spatially varying magnetic fields. MFM systems operated at ambient conditions typically can achieve a resolution of around 50 nm [1]. With optimized tips, a resolution down to below 20 nm is possible [2]. The highest resolution in MFM is achieved in vacuum. With very precise tip–sample distance control [3] and high-resolution tips [4], a resolution down to 10 nm could be demonstrated.

While the MFM technique has the best precision and accuracy of the test methods (see Figure 1), as a scanning technique it is comparatively slow, requires specific ambient conditions such as stable temperatures and can only be used for samples which are flat and smooth on a micrometre scale (depending on the scanning unit). For routine statistical process control (SPC) of the manufacturing process, it may not be suitable in many use cases. Therefore, it is anticipated that the MFM technique needs to be complemented, for example, by:

- the magneto-optical indicator film technique (MOIF), which, as an imaging process, allows high throughput;
- scanning Hall or MR test methods, which can easily be calibrated in homogeneous external fields. In CMOS technique, arrays of parallel Hall sensors can be prepared and thus a high throughput can be achieved in a scanning process.

Wherever possible, existing relevant scanning probe microscopy (SPM) standards are referred to, especially those developed by ISO/TC 201 like ISO 18115-2 [5] and ISO 11952 [6].

In summary, this document provides a traceable method for nanometre-resolution measurements of magnetic field patterns, which is the basis for precise control of fabrication processes and final product qualification. The key control characteristics for those products are very product specific (see, for example, IEC TS 62622:2012 [7]).

NANOMANUFACTURING – KEY CONTROL CHARACTERISTICS –

Part 9-1: Traceable spatially resolved nano-scale stray magnetic field measurements – Magnetic force microscopy

1 Scope

This part of IEC 62607 establishes a standardized method to characterize spatially varying magnetic fields with a spatial resolution down to 10 nm for flat magnetic specimens by magnetic force microscopy (MFM). MFM primarily detects the stray field component perpendicular to the sample surface. The resolution is achieved by the calibration of the MFM tip using magnetically nanostructured reference materials.

The objective of this document is to define and describe:

- reference materials for traceable high resolution magnetic stray field measurements;
- the calibration procedures to determine the instrument calibration function (ICF) and, if required, MFM key parameters entering the deconvolution process;
- the deconvolution process which allows to calculate quantitative stray field data from the measured MFM data using the ICF;
- the evaluation of the measurement uncertainty, including the prevention of potential artefacts which can occur during the measurement leading to a misinterpretation of the results.

2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.