International Standard

ISO/IEC 24772-1

First edition
2024-10

Programming languages — Avoiding vulnerabilities in programming languages —

Part 1: Language-independent catalogue of vulnerabilities

Langages de programmation — Conduite pour éviter les vulnérabilités dans les langages de programmation —

Partie 1: Catalogue de vulnérabilités indépendant du langage

Reference number
ISO/IEC 24772-1:2024(en)

© ISO/IEC 2024



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO/IEC 2024

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword ... **xv**

Introduction ... **xvii**

1 Scope ... **1**

2 Normative references ... **1**

3 Terms and definitions ... **1**
3.1 Communication ... 1
3.2 Execution model ... 1
3.3 Properties ... 2
3.4 Safety and security ... 3
3.5 Vulnerabilities ... 3
3.6 Specific vulnerabilities ... 3

4 Using this document ... **4**
4.1 Purpose of this document ... 4
4.2 Applying this document ... 5
4.3 Structure of this document ... 6

5 General vulnerability issues and primary avoidance mechanisms ... **7**
5.1 General vulnerability issues ... 7
5.1.1 Predictable execution ... 7
5.1.2 Sources of unpredictability in language specification ... 8
5.1.3 Sources of unpredictability in language usage ... 9
5.2 Primary avoidance mechanisms ... 9

6 Programming language vulnerabilities ... **11**
6.1 General ... 11
6.2 Type system [IHN] ... 12
6.2.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 12
6.2.2 Related coding guidelines ... 12
6.2.3 Mechanism of failure ... 12
6.2.4 Applicable language characteristics ... 13
6.2.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 13
6.2.6 Implications for language design and evolution ... 14
6.3 Bit representations [STR] ... 14
6.3.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 14
6.3.2 Related coding guidelines ... 14
6.3.3 Mechanism of failure ... 15
6.3.4 Applicable language characteristics ... 15
6.3.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 15
6.3.6 Implications for language design and evolution ... 16
6.4 Floating-point arithmetic [PLF] ... 16
6.4.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 16
6.4.2 Related coding guidelines ... 16
6.4.3 Mechanism of failure ... 16
6.4.4 Applicable language characteristics ... 17
6.4.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 17
6.4.6 Implications for language design and evolution ... 18
6.5 Enumerator issues [CCB] ... 18
6.5.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 18
6.5.2 Related coding guidelines ... 19
6.5.3 Mechanism of failure ... 19
6.5.4 Applicable language Characteristics ... 19
6.5.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 20
6.5.6 Implications for language design and evolution ... 20
6.6 Conversion errors [FLC] ... 20
6.6.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 20

© ISO/IEC 2024 – All rights reserved

6.6.2 Related coding guidelines 20
6.6.3 Mechanism of failure 21
6.6.4 Applicable language characteristics 21
6.6.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 21
6.6.6 Implications for language design and evolution 22
6.7 String termination [CJM] 22
6.7.1 Description of application vulnerability 22
6.7.2 Related coding guidelines 22
6.7.3 Mechanism of failure 22
6.7.4 Applicable language characteristics 22
6.7.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 23
6.7.6 Implications for language design and evolution 23
6.8 Buffer boundary violation (buffer overflow) [HCB] 23
6.8.1 Description of application vulnerability 23
6.8.2 Related coding guidelines 23
6.8.3 Mechanism of failure 24
6.8.4 Applicable language characteristics 24
6.8.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 24
6.8.6 Implications for language design and evolution 25
6.9 Unchecked array indexing [XYZ] 25
6.9.1 Description of application vulnerability 25
6.9.2 Related coding guidelines 25
6.9.3 Mechanism of failure 25
6.9.4 Applicable language characteristics 26
6.9.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 26
6.9.6 Implications for language designers 26
6.10 Unchecked array copying [XYW] 27
6.10.1 Description of application vulnerability 27
6.10.2 Related coding guidelines 27
6.10.3 Mechanism of failure 27
6.10.4 Applicable language characteristics 27
6.10.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 28
6.10.6 Implications for language design and evolution 28
6.11 Pointer type conversions [HFC] 28
6.11.1 Description of application vulnerability 28
6.11.2 Related coding guidelines 28
6.11.3 Mechanism of failure 29
6.11.4 Applicable language characteristics 29
6.11.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 29
6.11.6 Implications for language design and evolution 29
6.12 Pointer arithmetic [RVG] 29
6.12.1 Description of application vulnerability 29
6.12.2 Related coding guidelines 29
6.12.3 Mechanism of failure 29
6.12.4 Applicable language characteristics 30
6.12.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 30
6.12.6 Implications for language design and evolution 30
6.13 Null pointer dereference [XYH] 30
6.13.1 Description of application vulnerability 30
6.13.2 Related coding guidelines 30
6.13.3 Mechanism of failure 30
6.13.4 Applicable language characteristics 30
6.13.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 30
6.13.6 Implications for language design and evolution 31
6.14 Dangling reference to heap [XYK] 31
6.14.1 Description of application vulnerability 31
6.14.2 Related coding guidelines 31
6.14.3 Mechanism of failure 31
6.14.4 Applicable language characteristics 32

© ISO/IEC 2024 – All rights reserved

6.14.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 32
6.14.6 Implications for language design and evolution ... 32
6.15 Arithmetic wrap-around error [FIF] ... 33
6.15.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 33
6.15.2 Related coding guidelines ... 33
6.15.3 Mechanism of failure ... 33
6.15.4 Applicable language characteristics ... 34
6.15.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 34
6.15.6 Implications for language design and evolution ... 34
6.16 Using shift operations for multiplication and division [PIK] ... 34
6.16.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 34
6.16.2 Related coding guidelines ... 34
6.16.3 Mechanism of failure ... 34
6.16.4 Applicable language characteristics ... 34
6.16.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 35
6.16.6 Implications for language design and evolution ... 35
6.17 Choice of clear names [NAI] ... 35
6.17.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 35
6.17.2 Related coding guidelines ... 36
6.17.3 Mechanism of Failure ... 36
6.17.4 Applicable language characteristics ... 36
6.17.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 36
6.17.6 Implications for language design and evolution ... 37
6.18 Dead store [WXQ] ... 37
6.18.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 37
6.18.2 Related coding guidelines ... 37
6.18.3 Mechanism of failure ... 37
6.18.4 Applicable language characteristics ... 37
6.18.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 38
6.18.6 Implications for language design and evolution ... 38
6.19 Unused variable [YZS] ... 38
6.19.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 38
6.19.2 Related coding guidelines ... 38
6.19.3 Mechanism of failure ... 38
6.19.4 Applicable language characteristics ... 38
6.19.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 38
6.19.6 Implications for language design and evolution ... 39
6.20 Identifier name reuse [YOW] ... 39
6.20.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 39
6.20.2 Related coding guidelines ... 39
6.20.3 Mechanism of failure ... 39
6.20.4 Applicable language characteristics ... 40
6.20.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 40
6.20.6 Implications for language design and evolution ... 40
6.21 Namespace issues [BJL] ... 41
6.21.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 41
6.21.2 Related coding guidelines ... 41
6.21.3 Mechanism of Failure ... 41
6.21.4 Applicable language characteristics ... 41
6.21.5 Avoiding the Vulnerability or Mitigating its Effects ... 42
6.21.6 Implications for language design and evolution ... 42
6.22 Missing initialization of variables [LAV] ... 42
6.22.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 42
6.22.2 Related coding guidelines ... 42
6.22.3 Mechanism of failure ... 43
6.22.4 Applicable language characteristics ... 43
6.22.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 43
6.22.6 Implications for language design and evolution ... 44
6.23 Operator precedence and associativity [JCW] ... 44

© ISO/IEC 2024 – All rights reserved

6.23.1 Description of application vulnerability 44
6.23.2 Related coding guidelines 44
6.23.3 Mechanism of failure 45
6.23.4 Applicable language characteristics 45
6.23.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 45
6.23.6 Implications for language design and evolution 45
6.24 Side-effects and order of evaluation of operands [SAM] 45
6.24.1 Description of application vulnerability 45
6.24.2 Related coding guidelines 46
6.24.3 Mechanism of failure 46
6.24.4 Applicable language characteristics 47
6.24.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 47
6.24.6 Implications for language design and evolution 47
6.25 Likely incorrect expression [KOA] 47
6.25.1 Description of application vulnerability 47
6.25.2 Related coding guidelines 47
6.25.3 Mechanism of failure 48
6.25.4 Applicable language characteristics 48
6.25.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 48
6.25.6 Implications for language design and evolution 48
6.26 Dead and deactivated code [XYQ] 49
6.26.1 Description of application vulnerability 49
6.26.2 Related coding guidelines 49
6.26.3 Mechanism of failure 49
6.26.4 Applicable language characteristics 50
6.26.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 50
6.26.6 Implications for language design and evolution 50
6.27 Switch statements and lack of static analysis [CLL] 51
6.27.1 Description of application vulnerability 51
6.27.2 Related coding guidelines 51
6.27.3 Mechanism of failure 51
6.27.4 Applicable language characteristics 51
6.27.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 51
6.27.6 Implications for language design and evolution 52
6.28 Non-demarcation of control flow [EOJ] 52
6.28.1 Description of application vulnerability 52
6.28.2 Related coding guidelines 52
6.28.3 Mechanism of failure 52
6.28.4 Applicable language characteristics 52
6.28.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 52
6.28.6 Implications for language design and evolution 53
6.29 Loop control variable abuse [TEX] 53
6.29.1 Description of application vulnerability 53
6.29.2 Related coding guidelines 53
6.29.3 Mechanism of failure 54
6.29.4 Applicable language characteristics 54
6.29.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 54
6.29.6 Implications for language design and evolution 54
6.30 Off-by-one error [XZH] 54
6.30.1 Description of application vulnerability 54
6.30.2 Related coding guidelines 55
6.30.3 Mechanism of failure 55
6.30.4 Applicable language characteristics 55
6.30.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 55
6.30.6 Implications for language design and evolution 55
6.31 Unstructured programming [EWD] 56
6.31.1 Description of application vulnerability 56
6.31.2 Related coding guidelines 56
6.31.3 Mechanism of failure 56

© ISO/IEC 2024 – All rights reserved

6.31.4 Applicable language characteristics 56
6.31.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 56
6.31.6 Implications for language design and evolution 57
6.32 Passing parameters and return values [CSJ] 57
6.32.1 Description of application vulnerability 57
6.32.2 Related coding guidelines 57
6.32.3 Mechanism of failure 57
6.32.4 Applicable language characteristics 58
6.32.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 58
6.32.6 Implications for language design and evolution 59
6.33 Dangling references to stack frames [DCM] 59
6.33.1 Description of application vulnerability 59
6.33.2 Related coding guidelines 59
6.33.3 Mechanism of failure 59
6.33.4 Applicable language characteristics 60
6.33.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 60
6.33.6 Implications for language design and evolution 60
6.34 Subprogram signature mismatch [OTR] 61
6.34.1 Description of application vulnerability 61
6.34.2 Related coding guidelines 61
6.34.3 Mechanism of failure 61
6.34.4 Applicable language characteristics 61
6.34.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 62
6.34.6 Implications for language design and evolution 62
6.35 Recursion [GDL] 62
6.35.1 Description of application vulnerability 62
6.35.2 Related coding guidelines 62
6.35.3 Mechanism of failure 62
6.35.4 Applicable language characteristics 63
6.35.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 63
6.35.6 Implications for language design and evolution 63
6.36 Ignored error status and unhandled exceptions [OYB] 63
6.36.1 Description of application vulnerability 63
6.36.2 Related coding guidelines 63
6.36.3 Mechanism of failure 63
6.36.4 Applicable language characteristics 64
6.36.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 64
6.36.6 Implications for language design and evolution 65
6.37 Type-breaking reinterpretation of data [AMV] 65
6.37.1 Description of application vulnerability 65
6.37.2 Related coding guidelines 65
6.37.3 Mechanism of failure 66
6.37.4 Applicable language characteristics 66
6.37.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 66
6.37.6 Implications for language design and evolution 67
6.38 Deep vs. shallow copying [YAN] 67
6.38.1 Description of application vulnerability 67
6.38.2 Related coding guidelines 67
6.38.3 Mechanism of failure 67
6.38.4 Applicable language characteristics 67
6.38.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 68
6.38.6 Implications for language design and evolution 68
6.39 Memory leaks and heap fragmentation [XYL] 68
6.39.1 Description of application vulnerability 68
6.39.2 Related coding guidelines 68
6.39.3 Mechanism of failure 68
6.39.4 Applicable language characteristics 69
6.39.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 69
6.39.6 Implications for language design and evolution 69

© ISO/IEC 2024 – All rights reserved

6.40 Templates and generics [SYM] 70
6.40.1 Description of application vulnerability 70
6.40.2 Related coding guidelines 70
6.40.3 Mechanism of failure 70
6.40.4 Applicable language characteristics 71
6.40.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 71
6.40.6 Implications for language design and evolution 71
6.41 Inheritance [RIP] 71
6.41.1 Description of application vulnerability 71
6.41.2 Related coding guidelines 71
6.41.3 Mechanism of failure 72
6.41.4 Applicable language characteristics 72
6.41.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 72
6.41.6 Implications for language design and evolution 73
6.42 Violations of the Liskov substitution principle or the contract model [BLP] 73
6.42.1 Description of application vulnerability 73
6.42.2 Related coding guidelines 73
6.42.3 Mechanism of failure 74
6.42.4 Applicable language characteristics 74
6.42.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 74
6.42.6 Implications for language design and evolution 74
6.43 Redispatching [PPH] 74
6.43.1 Description of application vulnerability 74
6.43.2 Related coding guidelines 75
6.43.3 Mechanism of failure 75
6.43.4 Applicable language characteristics 75
6.43.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 75
6.43.6 Implications for language design and evolution 75
6.44 Polymorphic variables [BKK] 75
6.44.1 Description of application vulnerability 75
6.44.2 Related coding guidelines 76
6.44.3 Mechanism of failure 76
6.44.4 Applicable language characteristics 76
6.44.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 77
6.44.6 Implications for language design and evolution 77
6.45 Extra intrinsics [LRM] 77
6.45.1 Description of application vulnerability 77
6.45.2 Related coding guidelines 77
6.45.3 Mechanism of failure 77
6.45.4 Applicable language characteristics 77
6.45.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 78
6.45.6 Implications for language design and evolution 78
6.46 Argument passing to library functions [TRJ] 78
6.46.1 Description of application vulnerability 78
6.46.2 Related coding guidelines 78
6.46.3 Mechanism of failure 78
6.46.4 Applicable language characteristics 78
6.46.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 79
6.46.6 Implications for language design and evolution 79
6.47 Inter-language calling [DJS] 79
6.47.1 Description of application vulnerability 79
6.47.2 Related coding guidelines 79
6.47.3 Mechanism of failure 79
6.47.4 Applicable language characteristics 80
6.47.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 80
6.47.6 Implications for language design and evolution 81
6.48 Dynamically-linked code and self-modifying code [NYY] 81
6.48.1 Description of application vulnerability 81
6.48.2 Related coding guidelines 81

© ISO/IEC 2024 – All rights reserved

6.48.3 Mechanism of failure ... 81
6.48.4 Applicable language characteristics ... 81
6.48.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 82
6.48.6 Implications for language design and evolution ... 82
6.49 Library signature [NSQ] ... 82
6.49.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 82
6.49.2 Related coding guidelines ... 82
6.49.3 Mechanism of failure ... 82
6.49.4 Applicable language characteristics ... 83
6.49.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 83
6.49.6 Implications for language design and evolution ... 83
6.50 Unanticipated exceptions from library routines [HJW] ... 83
6.50.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 83
6.50.2 Cross reference ... 83
6.50.3 Related coding guidelines ... 83
6.50.4 Applicable language characteristics ... 83
6.50.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 84
6.50.6 Implications for language design and evolution ... 84
6.51 Pre-processor directives [NMP] ... 84
6.51.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 84
6.51.2 Related coding guidelines ... 84
6.51.3 Mechanism of failure ... 84
6.51.4 Applicable language characteristics ... 85
6.51.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 85
6.51.6 Implications for language design and evolution ... 85
6.52 Suppression of language-defined run-time checking [MXB] ... 85
6.52.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 85
6.52.2 Related coding guidelines ... 86
6.52.3 Mechanism of Failure ... 86
6.52.4 Applicable language characteristics ... 86
6.52.5 Avoiding the vulnerability ... 86
6.52.6 Implications for language design and evolution ... 86
6.53 Provision of inherently unsafe operations [SKL] ... 86
6.53.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 86
6.53.2 Related coding guidelines ... 87
6.53.3 Mechanism of Failure ... 87
6.53.4 Applicable language characteristics ... 87
6.53.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effect ... 87
6.53.6 Implications for language design and evolution ... 87
6.54 Obscure language features [BRS] ... 87
6.54.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 87
6.54.2 Related coding guidelines ... 88
6.54.3 Mechanism of failure ... 88
6.54.4 Applicable language characteristics ... 88
6.54.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 88
6.54.6 Implications for language design and evolution ... 89
6.55 Unspecified behaviour [BQF] ... 89
6.55.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 89
6.55.2 Related coding guidelines ... 89
6.55.3 Mechanism of failure ... 89
6.55.4 Applicable language characteristics ... 90
6.55.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 90
6.55.6 Implications for language design and evolution ... 90
6.56 Undefined behaviour [EWF] ... 90
6.56.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 90
6.56.2 Related coding guidelines ... 90
6.56.3 Mechanism of failure ... 91
6.56.4 Applicable language characteristics ... 91
6.56.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 91

© ISO/IEC 2024 – All rights reserved

6.56.6 Implications for language design and evolution 91
6.57 Implementation-defined behaviour [FAB] 91
6.57.1 Description of application vulnerability 91
6.57.2 Related coding guidelines 92
6.57.3 Mechanism of failure 92
6.57.4 Applicable language characteristics 92
6.57.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 92
6.57.6 Implications for language design and evolution 93
6.58 Deprecated language features [MEM] 93
6.58.1 Description of application vulnerability 93
6.58.2 Related coding guidelines 93
6.58.3 Mechanism of failure 93
6.58.4 Applicable language characteristics 94
6.58.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 94
6.58.6 Implications for language design and evolution 94
6.59 Concurrency – Activation [CGA] 94
6.59.1 Description of application vulnerability 94
6.59.2 Related coding guidelines 94
6.59.3 Mechanism of Failure 95
6.59.4 Applicable language characteristics 95
6.59.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 95
6.59.6 Implications for language design and evolution 96
6.60 Concurrency – Directed termination [CGT] 96
6.60.1 Description of application vulnerability 96
6.60.2 Related coding guidelines 96
6.60.3 Mechanism of failure 96
6.60.4 Applicable language characteristics 97
6.60.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effect 97
6.60.6 Implications for language design and evolution 97
6.61 Concurrent data access [CGX] 97
6.61.1 Description of application vulnerability 97
6.61.2 Related coding guidelines 97
6.61.3 Mechanism of failure 98
6.61.4 Applicable language characteristics 98
6.61.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effect 98
6.61.6 Implications for language design and evolution 98
6.62 Concurrency – Premature termination [CGS] 99
6.62.1 Description of application vulnerability 99
6.62.2 Related coding guidelines 99
6.62.3 Mechanism of failure 99
6.62.4 Applicable language characteristics 100
6.62.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effect 100
6.62.6 Implications for language design and evolution 100
6.63 Lock protocol errors [CGM] 100
6.63.1 Description of application vulnerability 100
6.63.2 Related coding guidelines 101
6.63.3 Mechanism of failure 101
6.63.4 Applicable language characteristics 102
6.63.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effect 102
6.63.6 Implications for language design and evolution 102
6.64 Reliance on external format strings [SHL] 103
6.64.1 Description of application vulnerability 103
6.64.2 Related coding guidelines 103
6.64.3 Mechanism of failure 103
6.64.4 Applicable language characteristics 103
6.64.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 104
6.64.6 Implications for language design and evolution 104
6.65 Modifying constants [UJO] 104
6.65.1 Description of application vulnerability 104

© ISO/IEC 2024 – All rights reserved

6.65.2 Related coding guidelines ... 104
6.65.3 Mechanism of failure ... 104
6.65.4 Applicable language characteristics ... 105
6.65.5 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 105
6.65.6 Implications for language design and evolution ... 105

7 Application vulnerabilities ... 105
7.1 General ... 105
7.2 Unrestricted file upload [CBF] ... 105
7.2.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 105
7.2.2 Related coding guidelines ... 105
7.2.3 Mechanism of failure ... 106
7.2.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 106
7.3 Download of code without integrity check [DLB] ... 106
7.3.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 106
7.3.2 Related coding guidelines ... 107
7.3.3 Mechanism of failure ... 107
7.3.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 107
7.4 Executing or loading untrusted code [XYS] ... 107
7.4.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 107
7.4.2 Related coding guidelines ... 107
7.4.3 Mechanism of failure ... 107
7.4.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 108
7.5 Inclusion of functionality from untrusted control sphere [DHU] ... 108
7.5.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 108
7.5.2 Related coding guidelines ... 108
7.5.3 Mechanism of failure ... 108
7.5.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 108
7.6 Use of unchecked data from an uncontrolled or tainted source [EFS] ... 109
7.6.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 109
7.6.2 Related coding guidelines ... 109
7.6.3 Mechanism of failure ... 109
7.6.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 109
7.7 Cross-site scripting [XYT] ... 110
7.7.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 110
7.7.2 Related coding guidelines ... 110
7.7.3 Mechanism of failure ... 110
7.7.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 111
7.8 URL redirection to untrusted site ("open redirect") [PYQ] ... 112
7.8.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 112
7.8.2 Related coding guidelines ... 112
7.8.3 Mechanism of failure ... 112
7.8.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 112
7.9 Injection [RST] ... 113
7.9.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 113
7.9.2 Related coding guidelines ... 113
7.9.3 Mechanism of failure ... 114
7.9.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 115
7.10 Unquoted search path or element [XZQ] ... 115
7.10.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 115
7.10.2 Related coding guidelines ... 115
7.10.3 Mechanism of failure ... 116
7.10.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 116
7.11 Path traversal [EWR] ... 116
7.11.1 Description of application vulnerability ... 116
7.11.2 Related coding guidelines ... 116
7.11.3 Mechanism of failure ... 117
7.11.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects ... 118
7.12 Resource names [HTS] ... 118

© ISO/IEC 2024 – All rights reserved

7.12.1 Description of application vulnerability 118
7.12.2 Related coding guidelines 119
7.12.3 Mechanism of Failure 119
7.12.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 119
7.13 Resource exhaustion [XZP] 119
7.13.1 Description of application vulnerability 119
7.13.2 Related coding guidelines 120
7.13.3 Mechanism of failure 120
7.13.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 120
7.14 Authentication logic error [XZO] 121
7.14.1 Description of application vulnerability 121
7.14.2 Related coding guidelines 121
7.14.3 Mechanism of failure 121
7.14.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 122
7.15 Improper restriction of excessive authentication attempts [WPL] 122
7.15.1 Description of application vulnerability 122
7.15.2 Related coding guidelines 122
7.15.3 Mechanism of failure 122
7.15.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 123
7.16 Hard-coded credentials [XYP] 123
7.16.1 Description of application vulnerability 123
7.16.2 Related coding guidelines 123
7.16.3 Mechanism of failure 123
7.16.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 124
7.17 Insufficiently protected credentials [XYM] 124
7.17.1 Description of application vulnerability 124
7.17.2 Related coding guidelines 124
7.17.3 Mechanism of failure 124
7.17.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 124
7.18 Missing or inconsistent access control [XZN] 125
7.18.1 Description of application vulnerability 125
7.18.2 Related coding guidelines 125
7.18.3 Mechanism of failure 125
7.18.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 125
7.19 Incorrect authorization [BJE] 125
7.19.1 Description of application vulnerability 125
7.19.2 Related coding guidelines 125
7.19.3 Mechanism of failure 125
7.19.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 126
7.20 Adherence to least privilege [XYN] 126
7.20.1 Description of application vulnerability 126
7.20.2 Related coding guidelines 126
7.20.3 Mechanism of failure 126
7.20.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 126
7.21 Privilege sandbox issues [XYO] 127
7.21.1 Description of application vulnerability 127
7.21.2 Related coding guidelines 127
7.21.3 Mechanism of failure 127
7.21.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 128
7.22 Missing required cryptographic step [XZS] 128
7.22.1 Description of application vulnerability 128
7.22.2 Related coding guidelines 128
7.22.3 Mechanism of failure 128
7.22.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 128
7.23 Improperly verified signature [XZR] 129
7.23.1 Description of application vulnerability 129
7.23.2 Related coding guidelines 129
7.23.3 Mechanism of failure 129
7.23.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 129

© ISO/IEC 2024 – All rights reserved

7.24 Use of a one-way hash without a salt [MVX] 129
7.24.1 Description of application vulnerability 129
7.24.2 Related coding guidelines 129
7.24.3 Mechanism of failure 129
7.24.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 129
7.25 Inadequately secure communication of shared resources [CGY] 130
7.25.1 Description of application vulnerability 130
7.25.2 Related coding guidelines 130
7.25.3 Mechanism of failure 130
7.25.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effect 131
7.26 Memory locking [XZX] 131
7.26.1 Description of application vulnerability 131
7.26.2 Related coding guidelines 131
7.26.3 Mechanism of failure 131
7.26.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 132
7.27 Sensitive information not cleared before use [XZK] 132
7.27.1 Description of application vulnerability 132
7.27.2 Related coding guidelines 132
7.27.3 Mechanism of failure 132
7.27.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 132
7.28 Time consumption measurement [CCM] 132
7.28.1 Description of application vulnerability 132
7.28.2 Related coding guidelines 133
7.28.3 Mechanism of failure 133
7.28.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effect 133
7.29 Discrepancy information leak [XZL] 133
7.29.1 Description of application vulnerability 133
7.29.2 Related coding guidelines 134
7.29.3 Mechanism of failure 134
7.29.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 134
7.30 Unspecified functionality [BVQ] 134
7.30.1 Description of application vulnerability 134
7.30.2 Related coding guidelines 134
7.30.3 Mechanism of failure 135
7.30.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 135
7.31 Fault tolerance and failure strategies [REU] 135
7.31.1 Description of application vulnerability 135
7.31.2 Related coding guidelines 136
7.31.3 Mechanism of failure 136
7.31.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 137
7.32 Distinguished values in data types [KLK] 137
7.32.1 Description of application vulnerability 137
7.32.2 Related coding guidelines 137
7.32.3 Mechanism of failure 138
7.32.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effects 138
7.33 Clock issues [CCI] 139
7.33.1 Description of application vulnerability 139
7.33.2 Related coding guidelines 139
7.33.3 Mechanism of failure 139
7.33.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effect 141
7.34 Time drift and jitter [CDJ] 141
7.34.1 Description of application vulnerability 141
7.34.2 Related coding guidelines 142
7.34.3 Mechanism of failure 142
7.34.4 Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effect 142
Annex A (informative) **Vulnerability taxonomy and list** **143**
Annex B (informative) **Selected principles for language designers** **150**

© ISO/IEC 2024 – All rights reserved

Bibliography **152**

© ISO/IEC 2024 – All rights reserved

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives or www.iec.ch/members_experts/refdocs).

ISO and IEC draw attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO and IEC take no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO and IEC had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents and https://patents.iec.ch. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html. In the IEC, see www.iec.ch/understanding-standards.

This document was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 22, *Programming languages, their environments and system software interfaces*.

This first edition of ISO/IEC 24772-1 cancels and replaces ISO/IEC TR 24772-1:2019, which has been technically revised.

The main changes are as follows:

— the document now describes avoidance mechanisms rather than providing specific guidance, in order to clarify that it is the responsibility of the implementation team to create design and coding standards, and that some of the avoidance mechanisms stated only apply to specific scenarios; "guidance" has been removed from the title accordingly;

— new terms have been added in 3.7 to the terms and definitions clause to address specific vulnerabilities;

— Clause 4 has been expanded to explain how this document is used with programming language standards, safety standards, and security standards;

— Clause 5 has been amended to provide general vulnerability issues and primary avoidance mechanisms;

— the titles of some Clause 6 vulnerabilities have been renamed to better capture the actual vulnerability;

— the clause “Fault tolerance and failure strategies” was moved from 6.37 to 7.31 to reflect that the vulnerability is more about the system design of fault tolerance and failure recovery strategies than being language-oriented;

— a new language vulnerability "Modifying constants [UJO]" was added in 6.65;

— Clause 7 was reorganized to gather similar application vulnerabilities together;

— new application vulnerabilities were added to expose issues with time management in real-time systems, in normal systems and in networked systems;

© ISO/IEC 2024 – All rights reserved

— a new Annex B has been added to collate material from the subclauses in Clause 6 entitled “Avoiding the vulnerability or mitigating its effect” in a single place.

A list of all parts in the ISO/IEC 24772 series can be found on the ISO and IEC websites.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user’s national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html and www.iec.ch/national-committees.

© ISO/IEC 2024 – All rights reserved

Introduction

All programming languages contain constructs that are incompletely specified, exhibit undefined behaviour, are implementation-dependent, or are difficult to use correctly. The use of those constructs can therefore give rise to vulnerabilities, as a result of which software programs can execute differently than intended by the writer. In some cases, these vulnerabilities can endanger the safety of a system or be exploited by attackers to compromise the security or privacy of a system.

This document catalogues software programming language vulnerabilities to be avoided in the development of systems where assured behaviour is required for security, safety, mission critical or business critical software. In general, this is applicable to the software developed, reviewed, or maintained for any application.

This document provides users of programming languages with a language-independent overview of potential vulnerabilities in their usage and ways to avoid or mitigate them. Other parts in the ISO/IEC 24772 series, such as ISO/IEC 24772-2 for Ada and ISO/IEC 24772-3 for C describe how the language-independent analysis of this document apply to the specific programming language addressed by that particular document.

This document is intended to catalogue avoidance mechanisms spanning multiple programming languages, so that application developers will be better able to avoid the programming constructs that lead to vulnerabilities in software written in their chosen language and their attendant consequences. These mechanisms can also be used by developers to select source code evaluation tools that can discover and eliminate some constructs that can lead to vulnerabilities in their software or to select a programming language that avoids anticipated problems.

The intended audience for this document consists of parties who are concerned with assuring the predictable execution of the software of their system; that is, those who are developing, qualifying, or maintaining a software system and are required by their organization to avoid language and design constructs that can cause the software to execute in a manner other than intended.

Developers of applications that have clear safety, security or mission-criticality requirements are expected to be aware of the risks associated with their code and can use this document to ensure that their development practices address the issues presented by the chosen programming languages, for example by subsetting or providing coding guidelines.

Specific audiences for this document include developers, maintainers and regulators of:

— safety-critical applications that can cause loss of life, human injury, or damage to the environment;

— security-critical applications that must ensure properties of confidentiality, integrity, and availability;

— mission-critical applications that must avoid loss or damage to property or finance;

— business-critical applications where correct operation is essential to the successful operation of the business;

— scientific, modeling and simulation applications that require high confidence in the results of possibly complex, expensive and extended calculation.

This document can be relevant to other developers as well. A weakness in a non-critical application can provide the route by which an attacker gains control of a system or otherwise disrupts co-hosted applications that are critical. All developers can use this document to ensure that common vulnerabilities are removed or at least minimized from all applications.

This document does not address software engineering and management issues such as how to design and implement programs, use configuration management tools, use managerial processes, and perform process improvement. Furthermore, the specification of properties and applications to be assured are not treated. While this document does not discuss specification or design issues, there is recognition that boundaries among the various activities are not clear-cut. This document seeks to avoid the debate about where low-level design ends and implementation begins by treating selected issues that some consider design issues rather than coding issues.

© ISO/IEC 2024 – All rights reserved

This document is inherently incomplete, as it is not possible to provide a complete list of programming language vulnerabilities because new weaknesses are discovered continually. Any such report can only describe those that have been found, characterized, and determined to have sufficient probability and consequence.

© ISO/IEC 2024 – All rights reserved

Programming languages — Avoiding vulnerabilities in programming languages —

Part 1: Language-independent catalogue of vulnerabilities

1 Scope

This document enumerates approaches and techniques to avoid software programming language vulnerabilities in the development of systems where assured behaviour is required for security, safety, mission-critical and business-critical software. In general, the description of the vulnerabilities and description of avoidance mechanisms are applicable to the software developed, reviewed, or maintained for any application.

Vulnerabilities are described in a generic manner that is applicable to a broad range of programming languages.

2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO and IEC terminology databases and in this clause apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

— ISO Online browsing platform: available at https://www.iso.org/obp

— IEC Electropedia: available at https://www.electropedia.org/

3.1 Communication

3.1.1
protocol
set of rules and supporting structures for the interaction of concurrent entities, such as tightly embedded interactions of threads or loosely coupled arrangements such as message communication spanning computer systems and networks

3.1.2
stateless protocol
communication or cooperation between threads where no state is preserved in the *protocol* (3.1.1) itself, such as the HTTP protocol or direct access to a shared resource

3.2 Execution model

3.2.1
thread
sequential stream of execution such as a single thread in a process or a process in an operating system

© ISO/IEC 2024 – All rights reserved