DRAFT International Standard

ISO/IEC DIS 19795-1

Information technology — Biometric performance testing and reporting —

Part 1: Principles and framework

Technologies de l'information — Essais et rapports de performance biométriques —

Partie 1: Principes et canevas

ICS: 35.240.15

ISO/IEC JTC **1**/SC **37**

Secretariat: **ANSI**

Voting begins on:
2026-06-23

Voting terminates on:
2026-09-15

THIS DOCUMENT IS A DRAFT CIRCULATED FOR COMMENTS AND APPROVAL. IT IS THEREFORE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AND MAY NOT BE REFERRED TO AS AN INTERNATIONAL STANDARD UNTIL PUBLISHED AS SUCH.

IN ADDITION TO THEIR EVALUATION AS BEING ACCEPTABLE FOR INDUSTRIAL, TECHNOLOGICAL, COMMERCIAL AND USER PURPOSES, DRAFT INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS MAY ON OCCASION HAVE TO BE CONSIDERED IN THE LIGHT OF THEIR POTENTIAL TO BECOME STANDARDS TO WHICH REFERENCE MAY BE MADE IN NATIONAL REGULATIONS.

RECIPIENTS OF THIS DRAFT ARE INVITED TO SUBMIT, WITH THEIR COMMENTS, NOTIFICATION OF ANY RELEVANT PATENT RIGHTS OF WHICH THEY ARE AWARE AND TO PROVIDE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION.

This document is circulated as received from the committee secretariat.

Reference number
ISO/IEC DIS 19795-1:2026(en)

© ISO/IEC 2026



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO/IEC 2026

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword ..... **vi**
Introduction ..... **vii**
1 Scope **1**
2 Normative references **1**
3 Terms and definitions **1**
4 Abbreviated terms **5**
5 Conformance **5**
6 General biometric system **6**
6.1 Conceptual representation of general biometric system 6
6.2 Conceptual components of a general biometric system 6
6.2.1 Data capture subsystem 6
6.2.2 Transmission subsystem 7
6.2.3 Signal processing subsystem 7
6.2.4 Data storage subsystem 7
6.2.5 Comparison subsystem 7
6.2.6 Decision subsystem 7
6.2.7 Administration subsystem 8
6.2.8 Interface to external application 8
6.3 Functions of general biometric system 8
6.3.1 Enrolment 8
6.3.2 Verification of a positive biometric claim 9
6.3.3 Identification 10
6.4 Enrolment, verification and identification transactions 10
6.5 Performance measures 11
6.5.1 Error rates 11
6.5.2 Throughput rates 11
6.5.3 Types of performance testing 12
7 Planning the evaluation **12**
7.1 General 12
7.2 Determine information about the system 13
7.3 Controlling factors that influence performance 14
7.4 Test subject selection 15
7.5 Test size 16
7.5.1 General 16
7.5.2 Collecting multiple recognition transactions per test subject per system 16
7.5.3 Requirements on test size 17
7.6 Multiple tests 17
8 Data collection **18**
8.1 Avoidance of data collection errors 18
8.2 Data and details collected 18
8.3 Enrolments 19
8.3.1 Enrolment transactions 19
8.3.2 Enrolment conditions 19
8.3.3 Enrolment failures and presentation errors 20
8.4 One-to-one comparison trials 20
8.4.1 General 20
8.4.2 Collection conditions 21
8.4.3 Frequency of use 21
8.4.4 Systems performing optimization based on enrolled references 21
8.4.5 Systems performing reference adaptation 22
8.4.6 Processes for data entry errors and system misuse 22
8.4.7 Failures to acquire 22

© ISO/IEC 2026 – All rights reserved

8.4.8 Adding test data to the corpus ... 22
8.4.9 Online comparison trials ... 22
8.4.10 Offline comparison trials ... 23
8.4.11 Offline non-mated comparison trials when references are dependent ... 23
8.4.12 Offline non-mated comparison trials based on comparison of references ... 24
8.4.13 Use of samples from multi-capture comparison transactions ... 24
8.5 Identification trials ... 24
8.5.1 General ... 24
8.5.2 Identification testing with non-enrolled test subjects ... 25
8.5.3 Use of jack-knife approach for identification testing ... 25

9 Analyses ... 25
9.1 General ... 25
9.2 Performance of biometric enrolment ... 26
9.2.1 Failure-to-enrol rate ... 26
9.2.2 Enrolment transaction duration ... 26
9.3 Performance of biometric acquisition ... 26
9.3.1 Failure-to-acquire rate ... 26
9.3.2 Acquisition process duration ... 27
9.3.3 Other aspects of acquisition performance ... 27
9.4 One-to-one comparison performance ... 27
9.4.1 False non-match rate ... 27
9.4.2 False match rate ... 28
9.5 Verification system performance metrics ... 29
9.5.1 General ... 29
9.5.2 False reject rate ... 29
9.5.3 False accept rate ... 29
9.5.4 Verification transaction duration ... 30
9.5.5 Generalized false reject rate and generalized false accept rate ... 30
9.6 Identification system performance metrics ... 31
9.6.1 General ... 31
9.6.2 False-negative identification rate ... 32
9.6.3 False-positive identification rate ... 32
9.6.4 Generalized false-negative identification rate and generalized false-positive identification rate ... 33
9.6.5 Selectivity ... 33
9.6.6 Closed-set test of identification performance ... 33
9.6.7 Estimation of identification error rates from one-to-one comparison results ... 34
9.6.8 Predicting identification error rates in larger populations ... 34
9.7 Analysis of performance across controlled experimental factors ... 35
9.7.1 Longitudinal analyses ... 35
9.7.2 Pairwise analyses ... 35
9.8 Detection error trade-off ... 35
9.9 Transaction durations ... 36
9.10 Computational workload ... 36
9.11 Uncertainty of estimates ... 37

10 Graphical presentation of results ... 38
10.1 Score distributions ... 38
10.1.1 General ... 38
10.1.2 Boxplots ... 38
10.2 Error rate vs threshold plot ... 38
10.3 DET plot ... 39
10.4 CMC plot / FNIR over rank plot ... 41
10.5 FNIR over number of enrolees plot ... 43
10.6 Heat maps ... 44

11 Record keeping ... 45

12 Reporting performance results ... 46
12.1 Reporting test details ... 46

© ISO/IEC 2026 – All rights reserved

12.2 Summary statistics 47
12.3 Reporting enrolment performance 47
12.4 Reporting acquisition performance 47
12.5 Reporting one-to-one comparison performance 48
12.6 Reporting verification system performance 48
12.7 Reporting identification system performance 48
12.8 Reporting performance across factors 49

Annex A (informative) **Differences between evaluation types** **51**

Annex B (informative) **Test size and random uncertainty** **52**

Annex C (informative) **Factors influencing performance** **59**

Annex D (informative) **Pre-selection algorithm performance** **64**

Annex E (informative) **Identification performance as a function of database size** **66**

Annex F (informative) **Algorithms for generating DET and CMC** **67**

Annex G (informative) **DET properties and interpretation** **70**

Bibliography **74**

© ISO/IEC 2026 – All rights reserved

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives or www.iec.ch/members_experts/refdocs).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents) or the IEC list of patent declarations received (see patents.iec.ch).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html. In the IEC, see www.iec.ch/understanding-standards.

This document was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 37, *Biometrics*.

This second edition cancels and replaces the second edition (ISO/IEC 19795-1:2021), which has been technically revised.

The main changes compared to the previous edition are as follows:

— Minor edits in clauses 3.22 to 3.26 and 9.6.2.1 to clarify/correct terminology.

A list of all parts in the ISO 19795 series can be found on the ISO website.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html and www.iec.ch/national-committees.

© ISO/IEC 2026 – All rights reserved

Introduction

This document is concerned solely with the scientific technical performance testing of biometric systems and devices. Technical performance testing seeks to determine error and throughput rates, with the goal of understanding and predicting the real-world error and throughput performance of biometric systems. The error rates include both false-positive and false-negative rates, as well as failure-to-enrol and failure-to-acquire rates across the test population. Throughput rates refer to the number of individuals processed per unit of time based both on computational speed and human–machine interaction. These measures are generally applicable to all biometric systems and devices. Technical performance tests that are modality-specific, for example, fingerprint scanner image quality, are not considered in this document.

The purpose of this document is to present the requirements and best scientific practices for conducting and reporting technical performance testing. It is acknowledged that technical performance testing is only one form of biometric testing. Other types of testing not considered in this document include:

— reliability, availability and maintainability;

— security, including vulnerability;

— conformance;

— safety;

— human factors, including user acceptance;

— cost/benefit;

— privacy regulation conformance.

Biometric technical performance testing can be of three types: technology, scenario and operational evaluation. Each type of test requires a different protocol and produces different types of results. Other parts of the ISO/IEC 19795 series provide specific advice and requirements for the development and use of such different test protocols. This document addresses specific philosophies and principles that can be applied over a broad range of test conditions.

© ISO/IEC 2026 – All rights reserved

Information technology — Biometric performance testing and reporting —

Part 1: Principles and framework

1 Scope

This document:

— establishes general principles for testing the performance of biometrics systems in terms of error rates and throughput rates for purposes including measurement of performance, prediction of performance, comparison of performance, and verifying conformance with specified performance requirements;

— specifies performance metrics for biometric systems;

— specifies requirements on the recording of test data and reporting of test results; and

— specifies requirements on test protocols in order to:

  — reduce bias due to inappropriate data collection or analytic procedures;

  — help achieve the best estimate of field performance for the expended effort;

  — improve understanding of the limits of applicability of the test results.

This document is applicable to empirical performance testing of biometric systems and algorithms through analysis of the comparison scores and decisions output by the system, without requiring detailed knowledge of the system's algorithms or of the underlying distribution of biometric characteristics in the population of interest.

Not within the scope of this document is the measurement of error and throughput rates for people deliberately trying to subvert the intended operation of the biometric system (e.g. by presentation attacks).

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/IEC 2382-37, *Information technology — Vocabulary — Part 37: Biometrics*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO/IEC 2382-37 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

— ISO Online browsing platform: available at https://www.iso.org/obp

— IEC Electropedia: available at http://www.electropedia.org/

© ISO/IEC 2026 – All rights reserved

3.1
test subject
individual whose biometric data is intended to be enrolled or compared as part of the evaluation

3.2
test crew
set of *test subjects* (3.1) utilized in an evaluation

3.3
target population
set of biometric data subjects of the application for which performance is being evaluated

3.4
test organization
functional entity under whose auspices the test is conducted

3.5
experimenter
individual responsible for defining, designing and analysing the test

3.6
test administrator
individual performing the testing

EXAMPLE Staff conducting enrolments or overseeing verification or *identification transactions* (3.10).

3.7
test observer
individual recording test data or monitoring the *test crew* (3.2)

3.8
enrolment attempt
sequence of one or more capture attempts with the aim of producing a biometric reference for a capture subject

Note 1 to entry: An enrolment attempt can require a specific number of capture attempts (e.g. three separate placements of a finger on a sensor within a set period), from which the highest quality sample(s) is/are selected for further processing.

3.9
enrolment transaction
one or more *enrolment attempts* (3.8) with the aim of producing a biometric reference for a capture subject

Note 1 to entry: If an enrolment attempt fails, further enrolment attempts can be performed within the same enrolment transaction until an attempt succeeds or enrolment is given up.

3.10
identification transaction
sequence of one or more capture attempts and biometric searches to find and return the biometric reference identifier(s) attributable to a single individual

3.11
channel effect
variation of the biometric sample due to sampling, noise and frequency response characteristics of the sensor and transmission channel

3.12
presentation effect
variation of the biometric sample due to the way that biometric characteristics are presented to the sensor

EXAMPLE In facial recognition, this can include pose angle; in fingerprinting, finger rotation and skin moisture. In many cases, the distinction between changes in the fundamental biometric characteristic and the presentation effects are unclear (e.g. facial expression in facial recognition or pitch change in speaker verification systems).

© ISO/IEC 2026 – All rights reserved

3.13
technology evaluation
offline (3.17) evaluation of one or more algorithms for the same biometric modality using a pre-existing or especially-collected corpus of samples

3.14
scenario evaluation
evaluation that measures end-to-end system performance in a prototype or simulated application with a *test crew* (3.2)

3.15
operational evaluation
evaluation that measures the performance of a biometric system in a specific application environment using a specific *target population* (3.3)

3.16
online
pertaining to execution of biometric enrolment or comparison directly following the biometric acquisition process

3.17
offline
pertaining to execution of biometric enrolment or comparison of stored biometric data subsequent to and disconnected from the biometric acquisition process

Note 1 to entry: Collecting a corpus of images or signals for offline enrolment and calculation of comparison scores allows greater control over which probe and reference images are to be used in any transaction.

3.18
closed-set test
test in which the *test crew* (3.2) comprises only individuals known to have a reference in the enrolment database

Note 1 to entry: Closed-set tests are a specific type of test for showing performance of identification systems in terms of a *cumulative match characteristic plot* (3.29).

3.19
failure to acquire
failure of the biometric capture and feature extraction processes to produce biometric features suitable for biometric comparison

3.20
false reject rate
FRR
proportion of verification transactions with true biometric claims erroneously rejected

3.21
false accept rate
FAR
proportion of verification transactions with false biometric claims erroneously accepted

3.22
false-negative identification rate
FNIR
FNIR(*N*, *R*, *T*)
proportion of a specified set of *identification transactions* (3.10) by capture subjects enrolled in the system for which the subject's correct reference identifier is not among those returned

Note 1 to entry: *N* is the number of enrolees. *R* is the *rank* (3.24) up to which references are returned to the candidate list. *T* is the similarity score threshold above which references are returned to the candidate list.

© ISO/IEC 2026 – All rights reserved

3.23
false-positive identification rate
FPIR
FPIR(*N, T*)
proportion of *identification transactions* (3.10) by capture subjects not enrolled in the system for which a reference identifier is returned

Note 1 to entry: *N* is the number of enrolees. *T* is the similarity score threshold above which references are returned to the candidate list.

Note 2 to entry: For systems that always return a fixed number of candidates without applying a threshold on scores, FPIR is not a meaningful metric.

3.24
rank
position of a candidate in a candidate list ordered by descending similarity score

3.25
true-positive identification rate
TPIR
TPIR(*N, R, T*)
proportion of *identification transactions* (3.10) by capture subjects enrolled in the system for which the subject's correct identifier is among those returned

Note 1 to entry: *N* is the number of enrolees. *R* is the *rank* (3.24) up to which references are returned to the candidate list. *T* is the similarity score threshold above which references are returned to the candidate list.

Note 2 to entry: TPIR(*N, R, T*) = 1 – FNIR(*N, R, T*).

3.26
selectivity
SEL(*N, R, T*)
average number of candidates returned above threshold *T* in *identification transaction* (3.10) by capture subjects not enrolled in the system

Note 1 to entry: *N* is the number of enrolees. *R* is the *rank* (3.24) up to which references are returned to the candidate list. *T* is the similarity score threshold above which references are returned to the candidate list.

Note 2 to entry: When *R* = *N*, SEL(*N*, *R*, *T*) is measured against the entire database.

3.27
computational workload
total computational effort of a single transaction (or set of transactions) in a biometric system, including number of intrinsic operations, execution time and memory requirements

Note 1 to entry: Computational workload is dependent on the hardware on which the biometric system is operating.

3.28
detection error trade-off
DET
relationship between false-negative and false-positive errors of a binary classification system as the discrimination threshold varies

Note 1 to entry: The DET can be represented as a DET table or as a DET plot.

Note 2 to entry: The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used in the previous edition of this document. The ROC is unified with the DET.

3.29
cumulative match characteristic plot
CMC plot
graphical presentation of results of mated searches in a closed-set identification test, plotting the *true-positive identification rate* (3.25), TPIR(*N, R,* 0), as a function of *R*

© ISO/IEC 2026 – All rights reserved

3.30
pre-selection algorithm
algorithm to reduce the number of comparisons that need to be made in an identification search of the enrolment database

3.31
pre-selection error
<pre-selection algorithm> error that occurs when the corresponding subject identifier is not in the pre-selected subset of candidates

Note 1 to entry: In binning pre-selection, pre-selection errors occur when the data subject's enrolment reference and a subsequent sample from the same biometric characteristic are placed in different partitions.

3.32
penetration rate
<pre-selection algorithm> average proportion of the total number of references that are pre-selected

4 Abbreviated terms

API	application programming interface
CMC	cumulative match characteristic
FAR	false accept rate
FTAR	failure-to-acquire rate
FTCR	failure-to-capture rate
FTER	failure-to-enrol rate
FTXR	failure-to-extract rate
FNIR	false-negative identification rate
FPIR	false-positive identification rate
FRR	false reject rate
GFAR	generalized false accept rate
GFRR	generalized false reject rate
PIN	personal identification number
RFID	radio frequency identification
ROC	receiving operating characteristic
SDK	software developer's kit
SEL	selectivity
TPIR	true-positive identification rate

5 Conformance

To conform to this document, a biometric performance test shall be planned, executed and reported in accordance the requirements contained in Clauses 7 through 12.

© ISO/IEC 2026 – All rights reserved